		1
1	BEFORE: DUNMORE ZONING BOARD	
2		
3	IN RE: BARLETTA OUTDOOR MEDIA ROBERT M. BURKE	
4		
5	DATE: February 22nd, 2024	
6		
7	TIME: 7:00	
8		
9	PLACE: DUNMORE COMMUNITY CENTER	
10	DUNMORE, PA 18512	
11		
12		
13	BOARD MEMBERS:	
14		
15	GERARD LONGO - Chairman	
16	TERRY MCDONNELL	
17	PETER SABIA, JR.	
18	ROBERT CUFF	
19	STEVE YANISKO	
20	MOLLY CLARK, ESQ Solicitor	
21		
22		
23	Maria McCool, RPR	
24	Official Court Reporter	
25		
	II	

_	
	2
1	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
2	
3	MR. LONGO: Mike, roll call, please.
4	MR. BRAZIL: Mr. Longo.
5	MR. LONGO: Here.
6	MR. BRAZIL: Mr. Sabia.
7	MR. SABIA: Here.
8	MR. BRAZIL: Mr. Yanisko.
9	MR. YANISKO: Here.
10	MR. BRAZIL: Mr. McDonnell.
11	MR. MCDONNELL: Here.
12	MR. BRAZIL: Mr. Cuff.
13	MR. CUFF: Here.
14	MR. BRAZIL: Attorney Dempsey.
15	ATTY. CLARK: Here.
16	MR. LONGO: Okay. Next on the
17	agenda is approval of the minutes from January
18	25th. All in favor?
19	ALL MEMBERS: Yes.
20	MR. LONGO: All right. Next on the
21	agenda is Barletta Outdoor Media, Clarks Summit
22	seeking variance for change of use for existing
23	billboard at 948 East Drinker Street.
24	Representing?
25	ATTY. BARRETT: Matt Barrett here on

2

tonight?

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

number of years. There wouldn't be any change

really to the sign other than the fact that it

would be used for advertising outdoor

advertisement or outdoor advertisement under

the ordinance.

I have Rob Barletta. I could go very quick. We can swear him in.

MR. LONGO: Good.

behalf of Barletta. How are you guys doing

ATTY. BARRETT: I'll try to be quick. Has the application been submitted to the entire Board? You all have a copy.

MR. LONGO: We all have a copy.

The application is to allow for it

ATTY. BARRETT: I ask that that be marked as an exhibit and made part of the record. At issue is a sign up on Drinker Street. You all have the picture. It's currently a sign that's used for on premises advertisement.

to be used for an off premises advertisement.

The variance that would be required is because

there's a residential zone within 250 feet of

the sign itself. The sign has been there for a

MR. LONGO: Okay.

ROBERT BARLETTA, having been called

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

BY ATTY. BARRETT:

follows:

Q. State your full name, please.

as a witness and being duly sworn testifies as

- A. Robert Barletta, Jr.
- Q. And are you associated with Barletta Outdoor?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. How are you associated?
- A. Owner.
 - Q. And do you have an agreement with the owners of the property up on Drinker Street which is the subject of the application?
 - A. Yes, and she's here.
 - Q. And the application would allow you to place a sign there for outdoor advertisement if approved by the Borough?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. By the Zoning Board. Can you describe the current situation? What is there? What is going on on the property? And what is on the sign currently?

A. So currently there is a sign there. It was -- previously it was for Serafina Restaurant.

Jenna had purchased the property. And she has a sign up there for her business. It's LMJ. It's for the shed business and for their landscaping Lawn and Order and LMJ Sheds.

They approached me looking -- asking if I was looking to partner up with them to put advertisements on it. So nothing would change except the content on the sign. Right now they have a vinyl banner on there for their business. And the only thing that would change is the content. So instead of Law and Order Landscaping, it might say Dunkin' Donuts. It might say Geisinger.

- Q. So just so we're clear, we're not talking about a digital board, correct?
 - A. No.

- Q. What is this series of photographs?
- A. So the first one, Exhibit 1A is what's there now today. It's the front of it. The second sheet Exhibit 1B is just the back side of it that's there now, the back side of the sign. And the third exhibit, Exhibit 2A is just a rendering of the content that would potentially be changing if you were to approve it. What I just put on there was a Geisinger

ad.

And the second -- I'm sorry, the fourth page is just the back side, a rendering of the back side which is just also a Geisinger ad.

- Q. I think we just need to be clear. The renderings kind of make it look like it could be a digital application. It's not a digital application. It's a static --
 - A. No, just another piece of vinyl.
- Q. Okay. Is there a similar board or is there an outdoor advertisement board in close proximity to this one?
- A. There's a Lamar billboard, a big, you know, traditional bigger one up the street on the right I think near the gas station.
- Q. Right. Are there homes just beyond that sign?
 - A. Yeah, there are.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. And there's a picture of that -- not included in the -- it's in the folder if you want me to --

ATTY. BARRETT: I think the members probably all know. So we'll make this one, the application itself I guess would be Applicant

1 The four photographs on four separate 2 pages on four different pages will be Applicant 3 This will be Applicant No. 3. No. 2. 4 5 (Applicant Exhibit Nos. 1, 2, 3 were marked for identification.) 6 7 8 BY ATTY. BARRETT: 9 And is a photograph that you took that Q. 10 depicts the billboard of up the road, if you will? 11 Α. Yes. And as you could see, there are houses 12 both on that side of the road where that sign is and 13 also across the street. I wasn't sure -- well, yeah, 14 there are houses on both sides of the street next to 15 that sign. 16 ATTY. BARRETT: I don't have any 17 other questions at this time. 18 MR. LONGO: Okay. Board have any 19 questions? 20 MR. SABIA: No. 21 MR. MCDONNELL: What is it zoned? 22 THE WITNESS: Commercial C3. 23 ATTY. BARRETT: So it's actually 24 permitted in a C3. It's not that the use is

not permitted in a C3. The only thing that

25

1 makes it so it's not permitted is its proximity 2 to the residential district. We will say 3 admittedly, it's pretty hard. If you look 4 online --5 MR. MCDONNELL: Which is 500 feet, correct? 6 7 ATTY. BARRETT: If that road is 8 considered an arterial road which PennDOT 9 has -- the Borough says an arterial road --10 they don't have anything that's defined 11 anywhere in your ordinance. I think PennDOT has minor arterials. 12 So I think this will be treated as a 13 14 minor arterial. So it would be 250, which 15 would be across the street. 16 MR. MCDONNELL: Mike, can I ask you 17 a question is this -- according to what we're 18 looking at now C3 or --MR. BRAZIL: Yes --19 20 MR. LONGO: The new SAPA because 21 that's been adopted. 22 MR. MCDONNELL: We're juggling. 23 ATTY. BARRETT: When did that get 24 adopted? 25 MR. LONGO: December 20th. So we

	j s
1	have to go by that.
2	ATTY. BARRETT: And our application
3	has been pending for a while.
4	MR. BRAZIL: It was pulled at one
5	point and resubmitted.
6	MR. LONGO: So, Molly, what do we go
7	by, the old or the new?
8	ATTY. CLARK: I think the old.
9	MR. LONGO: The old. Okay. So in
10	the old it's 250.
11	ATTY. BARRETT: I would have to
12	defer to Molly like the rest of you.
13	MR. LONGO: It doesn't 250 is the
14	residents are still closer.
15	ATTY. BARRETT: Yeah. But I'm
16	saying though, the ordinance itself didn't
17	change it to our benefit.
18	MR. LONGO: No.
19	ATTY. BARRETT: All right.
20	MR. LONGO: The billboard that's on
21	there, that's not like a normal billboard.
22	It's not something you would build.
23	THE WITNESS: Correct.
24	MR. LONGO: So you are not going to
25	do anything to it?

THE WITNESS: No. If you would 1 2 allow it we would. I did approach just a -- I 3 did approach the house across the street and 4 knocked on their door and let them know I would 5 be applying for it to see if they would have any opposition to it. And the girl I spoke to 6 7 said it was okay. And I think her husband 8 might have called me back a couple days later. 9 I don't know if they're here or not. 10 UNIDENTIFIED WOMAN: We said no. 11 Sorry. We are here. 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. So are you 13 opposed to it? 14 UNIDENTIFIED WOMAN: We are. We 15 love that they have their family business, but we don't want --16 17 MR. LONGO: All right. We'll -- I'm 18 going to call you up. 19 ATTY. CLARK: You have to get sworn in so just wait. 20 21 MR. LONGO: All right. I'm going to 22 call the audience up now. Do you want to be 23 first? Just come up and get sworn in. 24

MICHAEL SHIELDS, having been called

25

as a witness and being duly sworn testifies as follows:

MR. SHIELDS: So my wife and I spoke to Mr. Barletta on the phone. She spoke to him in person. Our biggest concern with this is not putting a sign there. We love having the Urbans and their business as our neighbors. We don't want to take money out of anyone's mouth.

Our concern is what does this open the door to? So if in the future, would this variance allow lights to be put on, allow an LED board to be put up. That's not in the proposed plans now. But what does this open us to in future? We have three small children to whom have bedrooms facing the street, facing the sign. So that is our main concern.

MR. LONGO: For an LED board, he would have to come back to us.

MR. SHIELDS: How about any lighting? When we spoke on the phone he did mention LED lights.

MR. LONGO: He said he's not going to change it. And there's no light on it now. So he would have to come back if he decided to

1 put lights on it. 2 MR. SHIELDS: When we spoke on the 3 phone he mentioned two LED lights similar to 4 the Lamar board further up street which is --5 MR. LONGO: It's not in -- they're not asking for that. 6 THE WITNESS: We pulled back on 7 8 that. 9 MR. LONGO: Are you going to do 10 that? THE WITNESS: 11 No, I thought that 12 when we had spoke, I thought that you had said as long as you're not going to put up the 13 14 lights that you guys were not opposed to it. 15 MR. SHIELDS: Yeah, that was our 16 biggest concern was the lights and what was on 17 the advertisement. 18 ATTY. CLARK: You have to come up. 19 20 DANA SHIELDS, having been called as 21 a witness and being duly sworn testifies as follows: 22 23 24 ATTY. BARRETT: I think for the 25 record, it would be better if you state your

address. I know you're across the street.

MS. SHIELDS: Sure, 979 East Drinker Street. So we're directly across the street. The other reason we are opposed is obviously property value. If a Geisinger sign goes up, the house next to us is going to go up for sale.

I feel that if I was buying in Dunmore outside my house, I wouldn't want a Dunkin Donut sign, a McDonald's sign. Again, we have no problem with the Urbans there. Their sign isn't flashy. It doesn't take someone's eye away from the road; and also, people fly there.

So we have three small children. So accidents on Drinker Street, I just -- uncomfortable with the sign there that it's, you know, it's Dunkin Donuts a mile and a half down the road, property value. I don't want to see -- I would rather see a family business in Dunmore than a commercial sign for Geisinger, McDonalds, Dunkin Donuts.

It's just -- takes away eye appeal from our house which we put a lot of money into since we bought it from our grandparents. And

that's what is kind of upsetting.

MR. LONGO: Okay. Thank you for your input. Anyone else? No. Any other questions on the Board?

ATTY. BARRETT: If I could establish of record, basically it's an empty lot at this point. There had been a restaurant there, a structure and the structure is now gone. Is that true?

THE WITNESS: True.

ATTY. BARRETT: That's all I have.

MR. LONGO: Thank you.

argument standpoint, I mean, the difficulty with the lot is that, you know, is on that turn. I understand your concerns. The billboard up the road doesn't really change the character of the neighborhood.

The fact that the lot is basically empty without a structure at this point makes it unique and given the fact that, you know, is that just such close proximity to that change in boundary line just makes it a unique situation.

MR. LONGO: Okay. Thank you. Do I

1	have a motion to approve a variance or the
2	billboard at 948 Drinker Street as is, no
3	alterations, no new construction, no light, no
4	LED billboard? I don't have a motion.
5	Anybody, a motion?
6	(No response.)
7	MR. LONGO: Okay. Variance is not
8	approved.
9	ATTY. BARRETT: Let me just talk to
10	Molly for one second.
11	ATTY. CLARK: I think we have to
12	vote on it.
13	MR. LONGO: If we don't have a
14	motion to approve it do I have a motion not
15	to approve it?
16	MR. SABIA: Yes.
17	MR. LONGO: Do I have a second not
18	to approve?
19	MR. MCDONNELL: Yes.
20	MR. LONGO: Okay. Roll call.
21	MR. BRAZIL: Mr. Longo.
22	MR. LONGO: No or yes.
23	MR. BRAZIL: Mr. Sabia.
24	MR. SABIA: Yes.
25	MR. BRAZIL: Mr. Yanisko.

MR. YANISKO: Yes. 1 MR. BRAZIL: Mr. McDonnell. 2 3 MR. MCDONNELL: Yes. 4 MR. BRAZIL: Mr. Cuff. 5 MR. CUFF: Yes. MR. LONGO: Okay. Motion not to 6 7 approve passes. 8 ATTY. BARRETT: Have a great day. 9 Thanks. 10 11 (Robert M. Burke) 12 13 MR. LONGO: Next on the agenda is 14 Robert Burke, 1515 Madison Avenue of Dunmore 15 seeking variance to construct a four family 16 apartment building, 1322-1326 Adams Avenue, 17 Dunmore. 18 19 DON JULIAN, having been called as a 20 witness and being duly sworn testifies as follows: 21 22 23 MR. JULIAN: I'm the land surveyor 24 representing Mr. Burke. And his intention is 25 to build a four-unit apartment building on a

1 vacant triangular parcel at the 1300 block of 2 Adams Avenue in Dunmore. We're seeking several 3 variances from a land development standpoint. 4 The first one is the minimum area 5 per dwelling unit required 5,000. We're asking for 2,850. Minimum lot depth required is 160. 6 7 The existing shape of the parcel we have 57. 8 The front yard we would like to reduce from 9 required 25 to 12. And the minimum rear yard 10 we would like to reduce to 7 and a half feet. 11 MR. LONGO: If I could just 12 Is that by the new book, the SAPA interrupt. book or the old book? 13 14 MR. JULIAN: I believe it's the new 15 We're providing eight off-street parking book. 16 spaces. 17 MR. CUFF: What's the minimum for 18 the rear? 19 MR. LONG: The rear is 30. He's got 7 and a half. 20 21 MR. CUFF: Because it's not listed 22 on here. 23 MR. JULIAN: There's a vacant 24 portion of the old Erie Railroad bed behind us. 25 It's not part of your MR. LONGO:

lot?

2 MR. JULIAN: And behind that it's industrial UGL.

MR. LONGO: Go ahead. Carry on.

 $\label{eq:mr.julian:that's probably all I} % \begin{subarray}{ll} \textbf{MR. JULIAN:} & \textbf{That's probably all I} \\ \textbf{have to say.} \\ \end{subarray}$

MR. LONGO: Okay.

JORDAN CLARK, having been called as a witness and being duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

MR. CLARK: Jordan Clark, architect representing client. Last time we were in here there was a little more at play. The owners elected not to purchase the adjoining property. He's keeping this simple to one building on one lot.

The challenge being as Don said the shape of the lot is a triangle. So inherently trying to do anything it gets a little tough.

And the proportions of the building are slightly around the side of a single-family dwelling so we don't feel the size of the building is any different than if it was a

1 single-family home. 2 And again, I just want to stress 3 that we are meeting the requirements for off 4 street parking if this were to go through so 5 the concern there would be negated. MR. MCDONNELL: You're reducing the 6 7 lot size, the requirements. 8 MR. LONGO: You got a little more 9 than half. 10 MR. MCDONNELL: To get the parking, 11 correct? 12 MR. CLARK: I'm sorry, can you say 13 that again? 14 MR. MCDONNELL: You went from an R2 15 apartment 5,000 square foot to 2,854 square foot? 16 17 MR. CLARK: Yes. 18 MR. MCDONNELL: Is that to 19 accommodate parking? MR. JULIAN: That's just an 20 21 ordinance requirement when you do a multiunit 22 building. They give you an amount of square 23 feet on the property per dwelling unit. 24 we're asking for four units. So when we divide 25 the land area up, you don't have the required

1	5,000 per unit.
2	MR. CLARK: And each unit would be a
3	two bedroom, two bathroom unit.
4	UNIDENTIFIED WOMAN: What's the size
5	of the building?
6	ATTY. CLARK: You have to get sworn
7	in to speak.
8	MR. BRAZIL: The public will have a
9	chance to comment.
10	MR. LONGO: We'll bring you up.
11	MR. MCDONNELL: So eight bedroom.
12	MR. CLARK: Eight total bedrooms,
13	yes.
14	MR. LONGO: So two on the bottom,
15	two on the top.
16	MR. CLARK: Yeah, side by side.
17	MR. LONGO: Do you know square
18	footage of each apartment?
19	MR. CLARK: 860 about.
20	MR. LONGO: Okay. And they are all
21	the same roughly.
22	MR. CLARK: Identical. Yeah, it's
23	just mirrored to the other and staircase
24	MR. LONGO: Staircase going up the
25	center

1	MR. CLARK: Right up the center,
2	yes.
3	MR. LONGO: Okay. Is there any
4	lighting on the outside of the building?
5	MR. CLARK: Minimal wall packs just
6	for lighting but nothing that will and we
7	didn't get that far yet but there would be wall
8	packs just for lighting the sidewalks, typical
9	lantern. That's it. No pole lights or
10	anything like that.
11	MR. LONGO: How about in the parking
12	lot?
13	MR. CLARK: Nothing that isn't
14	required. So we're not looking to put a pole
15	light up if we don't have to.
16	MR. LONGO: Any questions on the
17	Board?
18	MR. SABIA: How big was building
19	itself?
20	MR. LONGO: About 860 per unit.
21	MR. CLARK: Per unit. So it's 1,600
22	square foot footprint, roughly 1,600, 1,800.
23	I don't recall off the top of my head.
24	MR. CUFF: The dimensions, length
25	and width, height.

1	MR. CLARK: The height is less
2	it's within the requirements. I think it's 24
3	feet. And then I apologize, I don't recall the
4	dimensions. But it's somewhere around 40 by
5	50, less than that. It's an odd number.
6	MR. LONGO: But the height is not
7	over 25 feet.
8	MR. CLARK: No, to the peak which I
9	believe is 26 feet.
10	MR. LONGO: Okay. Questions, any
11	questions?
12	MR. YANISKO: How big is your
13	parking area? They are very vague these
14	blueprints.
15	MR. JULIAN: 9 by 18. There is
16	eight of them. One is handicap.
17	MR. LONGO: Anybody else? I'm going
18	to call the audience. Anybody have questions
19	on it? One at a time.
20	
21	ANN MARIE KUCHMAS, having been
22	called as a witness and being duly sworn
23	testifies as follows:
24	

MS. KUCHMAS: I just have obviously

if anybody knows our block and I'm sure all you guys know what our block looks like. There is not a lot of parking as it is right now. So I'm not against an apartment house. And it looks beautiful. I'm not against nice and clean apartment house as long as it doesn't bring in a lot of -- you know -- but eight parking spots is fine for four units. So you're talking two each, right?

But they're going to have company.

So there will be a lot more cars on that block.

Right now you can't even park. And I know this is another subject, but there's a bar opening up at our corner. And I saw the woman down there. And I asked her where is all of your people going to park because there's no parking down there.

And she said we're going to park on the streets. So if -- like, where are we going to park? We've been there for years. My husband's handicapped. He has a handicap parking. And the girl already told me -- I said, well, my husband is handicapped. He has a handicap parking. She said, that's not just for you. We can park up there too if we have

1 |

handicap clients.

So where are we going to, you know, like I said, I'm not against the apartment because it looks beautiful. But where are we going to park? That to me is an issue. I would rather see that apartment house there than the field to be honest with you if it's made up nice and we have nice clientele. I don't want to seem rude, but do you know where I'm coming from?

MR. LONGO: Yes, we do.

WILLIAM KUCHMAS, having been called as a witness and being duly sworn testifies as follows:

MR. KUCHMAS: I'm basically going to say everything that she said. But we basically went through this before. I've been parking if you know where Dean Court is, I was allowed to come back there. That is where I parked for 30 years because I couldn't park on the street.

There's big confrontations with people and parking. Most of the neighbors are all nice. We get our own spots. When Ruddy's

had the bar, we couldn't park. Everybody was parking in front of the house. They not only were parking, they were dropping beer bottles.

They were peeing in the yards. And with Adams Avenue too, you've got Ferguson Court. I was going to draw a map about it.

There has to be six or eight or ten homes down there with no parking. They park on Adams Avenue.

MR. LONGO: I'm familiar with Ferguson Court.

MR. KUCHMAS: And now with the restaurant on both sides, parking is a big issue, a big issue. I'm thinking about because of Dean Court, I might be fortunate but it's going to cost me 8 to \$10,000 to pave my backyard so I have a place to park. I'm not going to be able to park outside.

MR. LONGO: Right. Understood.

MS. KUCHMAS: When the bar does open up because the woman told me she's going to open in the spring, when that opens up is she now because Larch Street used to be you couldn't park on Larch because it was PP&L. But is that going to be opened up now for

1 parking? ATTY. CLARK: That's not before us 2 3 tonight. 4 MR. LONGO: We can't speak on that. 5 We don't know about it. MS. KUCHMAS: But do you know for a 6 7 fact if Larch Street is open to parking if we 8 had to park down there? 9 MR. LONGO: No, I don't know that. 10 Any other questions? Anybody want to speak? 11 MS. TOLAN: I do have a couple of 12 questions. My name is Christine Tolan, 1345 Adams Avenue. 13 14 MR. LONGO: You have to get sworn 15 in. 16 17 CHRISTINE TOLAN, having been called 18 as a witness and being duly sworn testifies as 19 follows: 20 21 MS. TOLAN: This printing here is 22 very vague. So a lot of things could shift, 23 change if it's approved without an official of 24 what's actually is going be -- wanting to be 25 done. The dimensions and everything like the

setbacks, if I wanted to do something like that it would be really hard because in my own property I would probably be denied.

But doing this down the street and then opening it up for bringing in more people to the area causes more traffic, you might be bringing in the wrong element, the parking situation is one thing.

But I would rather look at a clean field than somebody bringing in a property like this. I don't know who's going to live there. It could be a drug dealer, prostitution, drug addictions. I don't know. But it could cause me more problems because I deal with housing developments that are already there.

I have people getting shot at on New York Street because they have a huge development of property like that, an apartment. I have people come up and walk on my property, use my property because it's clean and their children can come and use it and everything like that.

So are you going to have enough green space? Will they stay in their area, your tenants and everything like that so that

1 they can be able to play and everything like 2 that? 3 MR. CLARK: That is the intent but 4 it's not my property. I'm representing the 5 property, the architect. MS. TOLAN: So you don't know what 6 7 is going to happen from here. He already owns 8 a property. And I already know how he deals 9 with the property. So he has a property where 10 he hid damage so you don't see it. And it's 11 covering up mistakes on the property, 12 dilapidated garage that can -- fell to pieces 13 down to the ground instead of taking it off the 14 property, he put a fence up in front of it to 15 hide it. 16 MR. LONGO: Is that the property 17 we're talking about? 18 MS. TOLAN: No. 19 MR. LONGO: We can't talk about it. 20 MS. TOLAN: But as a landlord, this 21 is how he's dealing with property though. 22 MR. LONGO: We can't talk about 23 that, only this property. 24 MS. TOLAN: Right. So you can't 25 guarantee me that he's going to protect my

environment because he's asking for variances based on -- the only person that is going to profit from this is him, not the neighborhood. He's not bringing anything positive. He's bringing possibly more negative to area than good.

So that's where I have a problem where you're not going to place that in stone. So you're going to approve this and he could make all of these little tweaks without me knowing what's going to happen.

MR. LONGO: No, he has to build it to the size of what he's saying. How it looks, we have no control of how it looks.

MS. TOLAN: Right, you don't even have specs yet and everything like that. Where is the garbage refuse going to be for this?

MR. MCDONNELL: That's Planning Commission. So that will be something different.

MS. TOLAN: So you're going to let him have the setbacks and everything like that. So what's going to happen if he decides he's going buy the property which is already been set on a possible map setup with the county

1 that he's going to take over Maria's property? 2 MR. LONGO: I'm only talking about 3 this property. 4 MS. TOLAN: Right. There's going to 5 be different legs that -- you have no idea if this is what's set in stone. 6 7 MR. LONGO: Then he would have to 8 come back. He can't just do it. 9 Well, apparently he is. MS. TOLAN: 10 MR. LONGO: Next? 11 MS. KUCHMAS: I don't want to be 12 mean or anything. But I think it's attractive. 13 We're only here to talk about parking. That is 14 my issue. As long as there's parking, do you 15 know what I mean? 16 MR. LONGO: Understood. 17 MS. KUCHMAS: And if we have to come 18 back for the other issue, that would have to come in front of you guys too, right? 19 20 MR. LONGO: No, it goes to Planning 21 Commission. And then we're going to put a 22 conditional approval with Council if it passes 23 here. 24 MS. KUCHMAS: No, I'm talking about 25 the other property that I'm not supposed to

talk about.

MR. LONGO: I can't talk about that.

That's not before us now so we have no information on it. Okay. You're next.

MR. TOLAN: Joe Tolan, 1345 Adams

Avenue.

JOSEPH TOLAN, having been called as a witness and being duly sworn testifies as follows:

MR. TOLAN: Just basically you know how long I've been saying parking is a big issue because we do have problems in the neighborhood. Me and my wife have a little more concerns. We do have an atmosphere that is not the nicest. We just don't want it to keep going in the wrong direction with transients just coming and going and not really caring about how they live.

So garbage which is not your department, but that is another issue because in the neighborhood there is a lot of trouble with that. But getting back to the parking, I thought under the zoning there was two spots

1	per unit. And if you do two spots per unit,
2	they only have eight. If there is no
3	handicapped, there is only technically seven
4	spots because no one can use that handicap
5	spot. So should they have nine?
6	MR. MCDONNELL: There's still eight
7	spots.
8	MR. LONGO: It doesn't specify
9	handicap or not.
10	ATTY. CLARK: There's nine.
11	MR. BRAZIL: They have eight and a
12	handicap spot.
13	MR. MCDONNELL: I think they meet
14	parking.
15	MR. TOLAN: That is our biggest
16	concern that we're afraid of parking and how
17	many is going to come there because technically
18	you cannot park in the handicap spot if you are
19	not handicapped.
20	MR. LONGO: There's nine.
21	MR. TOLAN: I thought there was
22	eight. My mistake. Sorry about that. I
23	thought there was only eight. You got me. No
24	further questions.
25	MR. LONGO: Thank you.

MR. TOLAN: That is specified right in the details?

MS. TOLAN: It's not clear. That's the problem. From 1 to 25 spots, number one, you have one mandatory handicap spot. So if you have to have two spots per unit, that is eight. So you have to one of them specified as handicap. So somebody in that building has to be handicap to use that spot technically. But then, I don't know if law enforcement will enforce it if it's on private. I don't know how it would be enforced anyway.

MR. CLARK: You could also rent an apartment if you don't have a car.

MR. LONGO: The book says you need two spots per unit so we need eight spots. Are the bathrooms all ADA in there and the kitchens?

MR. CLARK: No.

MR. LONGO: Then it's not set up -it's not intended for handicap. Then they
won't need a handicap spot. Unless you have an
ADA bathroom and kitchen, you can't use the
apartment.

ATTY. CLARK: You don't have to make

all apartments ADA.

MS. TOLAN: All I know is when you have a parking lot that is what it says by law.

MR. CLARK: When you go over a certain number of units, then you have to have a handicap unit.

MR. WELSCH: Don Welsch, 1311 Adams

Avenue.

DONALD WELSCH, having been called as a witness and being duly sworn testifies as follows:

MR. WELSCH: My concern is parking with everybody else. But this spot is taking up all the parking that is in front of that field now that the neighbors across the street at 1317 and everybody else use because it will have to remain open for them to get in and out of there.

They are going to be -- that is their spot. It will be private property and it will have a driveway that has to be open probably 15 feet on each side of it. So you are going to -- over a 100 feet that is used

1 for parking now that's always taken up that is 2 not going to be there. 3 MR. LONGO: Correct. 4 MR. MCDONNELL: Yes. 5 MR. LONGO: You got to come off the road he's saying and you're taking away parking 6 7 from the road. 8 MR. CLARK: We're not intending to 9 do a curb cut the whole way. 10 MR. LONGO: You're going to have 15 foot. 11 12 MR. YANISKO: It's doesn't look that 13 way. 14 MR. LONGO: You're not showing any 15 egress. 16 MR. SABIA: It's just showing a big 17 opening here. 18 MS. TOLAN: Can I ask one more 19 question? I thought that they have to have some type of egress for the firemen to get in 20 21 between the two buildings. It has to be so 22 I thought it was part of new ordinance. much. 23 MR. MCDONNELL: That's Planning 24 That wouldn't fly. And still -again. 25 MS. KUCHMAS: If it gets -- say they

1 could have it down here and then it goes to the 2 Planning? 3 MR. LONGO: Yeah, but if it gets 4 shot down here it's finished. 5 MS. KUCHMAS: And then Planning says you have to do this, this, this. 6 7 MR. LONGO: You're only going to 8 have a 15 foot driveway or 18 foot? 9 MR. CLARK: About 18 feet to make a 10 swing. 11 MR. LONGO: Do you think that's 12 enough? That's going to be tight. 13 MR. YANISKO: I think we need more 14 dimensions. There is absolutely no dimensions 15 There's no numbers to the building, on it. 16 there is no numbers to the parking spots, 17 anything. 18 MR. MCDONNELL: Agreed. 19 MR. YANISKO: How can you approve 20 something with no numbers on it? That is my 21 concern. 22 MR. LONGO: Okay. Anybody else in 23 the audience have anything to say? Do I have 24 motion to table it and come back with more 25 information, more measurements on the plan?

1 MR. CLARK: Would it be possible to get conditional on setbacks and then just come 2 3 back for the parking? 4 MR. MCDONNELL: No. MR. LONGO: 5 No. MR. YANISKO: No. We need more 6 7 defined blue presents. You're telling me it's 8 10 foot back off the property, but you are not 9 telling me how big the building itself is. 10 MR. CLARK: I can find it if you let 11 me look on my phone. 12 MR. LONGO: All right. We're going 13 to take a vote on it. Do I have a motion to 14 table the project for more information? MR. SABIA: I'll make a motion. 15 16 MR. CUFF: I'll second it. 17 ATTY. CLARK: I think you have to 18 based on what we have. We need more. 19 MR. LONGO: Okay. I have a motion Roll call? 20 and a second. MR. BRAZIL: Jerry Longo. 21 22 MR. LONGO: Yes. 23 MR. BRAZIL: Mr. Sabia. 24 MR. SABIA: Yes. 25 MR. BRAZIL: Mr. Yanisko.

MR. YANISKO: Yes. 1 MR. BRAZIL: Mr. McDonnell. 2 3 MR. MCDONNELL: No. 4 MR. BRAZIL: Mr. Cuff. 5 MR. CUFF: Yes. MR. LONGO: Okay. Motion wins. 6 7 Come back next time with more measurements, 8 more complete plans and we'll look at it again. 9 MR. CLARK: Okay. Thank you. MR. LONGO: You're welcome. Motion 10 11 to adjourn. 12 MR. MCDONNELL: Can I say something on the record though? Find out what you have 13 14 to do for conditional use as far as when it 15 goes to Council and just find out which avenue 16 you have to go to. Just advice. That's all. 17 MR. LONGO: Meeting adjourned. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

$\mathsf{C} \; \mathsf{E} \; \mathsf{R} \; \mathsf{T} \; \mathsf{I} \; \mathsf{F} \; \mathsf{I} \; \mathsf{C} \; \mathsf{A} \; \mathsf{T} \; \mathsf{E}$

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me of the above-cause and that this copy is a correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

Maria Mc Cool

Official Court Reporter

Maria McCool, RPR

(The foregoing certificate of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless under the direct control and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.)