| r | |
|----|--|
| | 1 |
| 1 | PENSION BOARD FOR THE BOROUGH OF DUNMORE |
| 2 | |
| 3 | HELD: |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Tuesday, March 28th, 2023 |
| 6 | |
| 7 | TIME: |
| 8 | 6:00 P.M. |
| 9 | |
| 10 | LOCATION: |
| 11 | DUNMORE COMMUNITY CENTER 1414 Monroe Avenue |
| 12 | Dunmore, Pennsylvania |
| 13 | PENSION BOARDS MEMBERS: |
| 14 | FENSION BOARDS HEHDERS. |
| 15 | VINCE AMICO, President |
| 16 | MAX CONWAY, MAYOR, Vice President - absent |
| 17 | JOHN MALECKI - absent |
| 18 | WILLIAM BONAVOGLIA |
| 19 | GREG WOLFF |
| 20 | MARK BURTON |
| 21 | LAWRENCE DURKIN, ESQ., SOLICITOR |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | MARIA McCOOL, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER |

| 1 | ATTY. DURKIN: So we'll call the |
|----|--|
| 2 | March 28, 2023 Dunmore Fire Pension Fund |
| 3 | meeting to order. We'll start with the roll |
| 4 | call. |
| 5 | MR. AMICO: Vince Amico. |
| 6 | MR. BONAVOGLIA: Bill Bonavoglia. |
| 7 | MR. WOLFF: Greg Wolff. |
| 8 | MR. COLO: Ralph Colo. |
| 9 | MR. BURTON: Mark Burton. |
| 10 | ATTY. DURKIN: Larry Durkin. I'll |
| 11 | ask initially for a motion to approve the |
| 12 | minutes from our December 13th, 2022 meeting |
| 13 | which is the transcript that was prepared at |
| 14 | that meeting. Do I have a motion for that? |
| 15 | MR. WOLFF: I'll make a motion. |
| 16 | MR. DURKIN: Do I have a second? |
| 17 | MR. BONAVOGLIA: I'll second. |
| 18 | MR. DURKIN: All in favor? |
| 19 | ALL MEMBERS: Aye. |
| 20 | ATTY. DURKIN: I don't have any |
| 21 | anything for Old Business. For New Business, |
| 22 | Ralph, do you have a presentation where we are |
| 23 | in the market? |
| 24 | MR. COLO: I do. Year to date, the |
| 25 | numbers are positive for this will be page |

four. Year to date the numbers are positive. We're at a positive 1.64 percent currently for the year. Of course, we talked about last meeting the expected volatility is alive in this market.

Larry actually asked me -- we have a little bit more in cash now. There's almost 20 percent, you know, what would be the time to kind of get that implemented and, you know, usually there's an event that will trigger that led by earnings -- downward revisions.

And we saw that event. That event happened about two weeks ago and that was the collapse of Silicon Valley Bank. So we're seeing some of the local banks across the country really tier here and that's caused a lot of stress in the bond market.

We expect that to show in the equity markets in the next quarter. So, you know, it would be my thought that the cash that we have implemented which is earning about 4 and a half percent right now as we wait which is pretty good, you know, we will get that allocated to the different areas in the equity markets over the next -- really over the next six months I

think we will see that.

But, you know, really, you know, our allocation right now if we look on page five we have 18 percent in cash which is a little bit on the high end. But, you know, as I mentioned I think that this is really a time to be pretty defensive. It's Morgan Stanley's thought and my thought that we could see a 20 percent downtick in the equity markets really at any time here and it could happen very quickly and swiftly.

So, you know, we want to be properly asset allocated. We never want to be all in or all out. But as you can see, our equity exposure is under 50 percent at this time. And as I mentioned the cash at 18 percent if this was a year or two ago if we had that much in cash we would be earning nothing on it.

As I mentioned, it's over 4 and a half percent we're earning in cash currently. So, you know, I'm comfortable where our cash position is compared to, you know, our equities right now. But I do think that that will change, you know, at our next meeting.

And if there is something that

dramatically happened I would get in touch
with Greg and really try to maybe have a
special call or just let you know that I would
like to allocate some of that cash.

That's really, you know, all that I wanted to talk about. You know, I do think that that volatility that I talked about last meeting will continue for the next quarter or so. We do expect by the third and fourth quarters that the equity markets and the fixed income markets should stabilize.

But we think that there needs to be substantial downward revisions in equity prices here, you know, before that will happen. So again, we're positive which I think is pretty good here so far year to date.

ATTY. DURKIN: Is the additional cash the result of contributions?

MR. COLO: Yes, Larry, yes. So that was -- so the contributions that came in in December for the MMO were allocated to fire, police and nonuniform plans. So that cash is inside of those plans. But it's in cash right now. And what will happen is that, you know, will, of course, get allocated to the

investments inside of each respective plan.

Any questions?

ATTY. DURKIN: Okay. So we have a couple of allocations. But before we get to the applications for pension, within the last month or so I got a call from Shane Buchspics. And he had worked for the fire department for some period of time, not long enough to have vested. And he asked basically for a refund of his contributions.

So we wrote to Joe Duda, asked him what those contributions were, asked him to apply -- the borough ordinance provides for 5 percent on a return of contributions. And he sent us a letter indicating that the total contributions he made are 1,276.61. With interest as of the end of this month it will be \$1,528.32.

So I think he is entitled to that back at that amount. So I'd ask for a motion to approve the reimbursement of his contributions with interest.

MR. AMICO: I'll make that motion.

MR. WOLFF: I'll second.

ATTY. DURKIN: Anything on the

question? All in favor?

ALL MEMBERS: Aye.

ATTY. DURKIN: Okay. All right. So we'll let Joe know.

MR. COLO: So the check I could talk to Bill Rainey about cutting that check. I could do that tomorrow if you guys would like.

MR. WOLFF: Yeah.

MR. COLO: And I'll, of course, give him a copy of that letter.

ATTY. DURKIN: All right. So we have three applications for pension. They are all disability pensions and in no particular order the first one I have is for Joshua Ruddy. And, Greg, can you give his background? He works for the --

MR. WOLFF: He worked for the police department, shoulder injury. He's been off on long-term Heart and Lung. So he reached out a couple months ago, two, three months ago saying, look, I'm permanently disabled. I have no wish to come back.

So we did our process, got Larry the IME, the Independent Medical Exam, which did come back saying, you know, I think the exact

words were he doesn't feel like he could come back as a police officer, close to that, right?

ATTY. DURKIN: Yeah, right. So Dr. Pande from Northeast Rehab in his opinion he said, "With respect to functioning as a police officer, I do not believe he could go back to work as a police officer. He does exhibit weakness as well as significant limitations both in his shoulder and his spine range of motion.

I do not feel that he would be able to function unrestricted as a police officer with respect to either restraining an individual or using a weapon, if needed. He is disabled from his position as police officer."

So I think that there is a sufficient medical basis for the approval of a disability pension based on the IME as well as his own medical reports. And it was according to the IME in the course of his employment.

MR. WOLFF: And the Borough and the labor attorney do not contest that as well.

ATTY. DURKIN: Right. So I have the IME if anybody wants to read it. It's available. Or if you wanted to we could

1 proceed to a motion on the application. MR. AMICO: I'm ready for a motion. 2 3 ATTY. DURKIN: Okay. Do we have a 4 motion to approve it? 5 MR. AMICO: Yeah, I'll make a motion to approve. 6 ATTY. DURKIN: 7 Do we have a second? 8 MR. BONAVOGLIA: I'll second. 9 ATTY. DURKIN: Is there any 10 question on the application? All in favor? 11 ALL MEMBERS: Aye. 12 ATTY. DURKIN: And none opposed. So 13 we'll -- we already have the calculations done. 14 MR. WOLFF: Correct. Joshua Ruddy, 15 entitled to a disability benefit of \$3,066.47 16 per month for life of the pension plan. 17 the surviving spouse will receive 50 percent of the benefit at \$1,333.24 rest of her life. 18 19 ATTY. DURKIN: Okay. So we'11 20 coordinate with the -- getting that paperwork 21 And then his pension is approved. in. So the 22 second one we have is for John Sohns. And Mr. 23 Sohns was a firefighter who was injured back on 24 May 27th, 2020. He also submitted to an 25 Independent Medical Exam which occurred on

April -- March 16th of this year.

And the exam was performed by Dr.

Hernandez and in the pertinent part it says,

"The likelihood of Mr. Sohns' ability to return

to work as a firefighter in any capacity will

offer potential deleterious harm to himself

and/or coworkers.

The physical demands of being a firefighter outstrip Mr. Sohns current disposition and may, in fact, pose a tangible risk to himself and his firefighter colleagues during an active fire scenario.

The full gear 65 pounds used to perform duties exceed his carry and lifting capacity. Continued treatment would be palliative and intended to improve his activities of daily living and quality of life. Mr. Sohns could not be effectively treated enough to return to a preinjury capacity."

So this is -- the Borough doesn't contest this opinion. His doctors also provide the opinion that he's disabled. So based on the IME report from what I see there's a sufficient basis for a disability pension. And if unless anybody has any questions --

So it's

1 MR. WOLFF: And just for clarification it was a back injury, long-term 2 3 Heart and Lung as well. 4 ATTY. DURKIN: Okay. So he was on 5 Heart and Lung and now he's leaving. MR. WOLFF: Correct. 6 ATTY. DURKIN: Do we have a motion 7 8 to approve it? 9 MR. WOLFF: I'll make that motion. 10 ATTY. DURKIN: A second? 11 MR. AMICO: Second. 12 ATTY. DURKIN: Any question on it? All in favor? 13 14 ALL MEMBERS: Aye. 15 ATTY. DURKIN: Any opposed? 16 And then the third one is Joseph approved. 17 Bianchi. And Mr. Bianchi is also a 18 firefighter. He was injured back on July 5th 19 of 2018. He's had a number of IMEs over time. 20 And we most recently received one from Dr. 21 Mauthe. And Dr. Mauthe in pertinent part says 22 that in his opinion that, "Mr. Bianchi is 23 unable to safely return to work as a 24 firefighter in the Borough of Dunmore based on 25 his current physical injuries related to the

A11

1 July 5th, 2018 work injury. 2 He says in my opinion within a 3 reason degree of medical certainty if he were 4 to return to work as a firefighter he would 5 pose a risk to himself and the people he had been appointed to serve. This is because of 6 the limitations in the shoulder motion and 7 8 other issues with his pain management." So again, this is another one where 9 10 the Borough is not contesting the --11 MR. WOLFF: Correct. 12 ATTY. DURKIN: -- the IME. He has 13 been on Heart and Lung. 14 MR. WOLFF: Yes, long-term since 2018. 15 16 ATTY. DURKIN: Okay. So it appears there's a sufficient basis based on the opinion 17 18 of Dr. Mauthe for a disability pension unless 19 there's any questions I'd ask if someone is 20 willing to make a motion. 21 MR. AMICO: I'll make a motion. Do we have a 22 ATTY. DURKIN: Okay. 23 second? 24 MR. BONAVOGLIA: I'll second it.

ATTY. DURKIN: On the question?

25

1 in favor? 2 ALL MEMBERS: Aye. 3 ATTY. DURKIN: Okay, and none 4 All right. So those are the three opposed. 5 applications for pension that we have. have any questions from the public? 6 7 MR. WOLFF: I'd just like one thing 8 for clarification. I don't want to make it 9 part of the vote for Bianchi. The actuary Joe 10 Duda does have two options. He's not married, 11 life only and the 120 months certain in life. 12 So he is being not married he could choose 13 that. He can choose a beneficiary. 14 ATTY. DURKIN: He could choose any 15 beneficiary on the 10 year certain. It doesn't 16 matter who it is. But it's limited. 17 receives a pension for 120 months there is no -- there's nothing else. If he, you know --18 19 MR. WOLFF: Even him. That's 120 20 months, that's it. 21 ATTY. DURKIN: Well, he has until he 22 dies. 23 MR. WOLFF: Right, okay, but the

ATTY. DURKIN: Right, you know, if

beneficiary gets the 10 --

24

25

he lives for eight years and then passes away his beneficiary will get two years.

MR. WOLFF: Okay. I just want to make sure. So he could name any beneficiary.

ATTY. DURKIN: Doesn't matter, yeah.

MR. WOLFF: I'll let him know.

MR. AMICO: Could you just explain how that works? So basically -- Joe's young. So after 10 years --

ATTY. DURKIN: So what will happen is the calculation that the actuary did, his pension -- police and fire pension benefit is based on a percentage of their final salary. So they look at that final salary and again, just using -- making up a number let's say his final salary was 50,000 during the year.

His pension benefit would then be 25,000 a year. So he could either receive that full 25,000 a year or he could elect the 10 year certain and he would get an incrementally smaller amount than that because there's a risk that -- because the risks are either he's -- one risk is that he's going to live for 60 years. The other risk is he's going to live for a month.

So instead of getting that full 25,000 he might get the 19,500 with a guarantee that someone will get -- there will be at least a 10-year payout on his pension. So he gets slightly less than he otherwise would.

MR. AMICO: Just saying for clarity, so he's 48. So once he -- if he elects for option two or anybody elects for option two, once he's 58, there's no --

ATTY. DURKIN: Option two goes away.

MR. COLO: There's no beneficiary.

ATTY. DURKIN: There's nothing.

MR. AMICO: Except for him. He gets it until --

ATTY. DURKIN: He gets it until he dies no matter what. If he dies within 10 years, somebody gets a residual. And if he lives beyond 10 years, that's it. So it doesn't matter to the Borough who he selects. He could pick his niece, doesn't matter. It's how long he lives. That's what the trigger is. You can't pick a niece for a lifetime benefit.

MR. WOLFF: The process for them is for him to name -- would that be -- obviously at some point I would be involved. But would

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WOLFF: Just their employee

folder or create a separate one?

ATTY. DURKIN: I think just maybe keep everything in one place because there have

Duda generate the paperwork for that?

Well, I would say this MR. COLO: that I think this would be -- and you're a perfect one to do it, Greg. I think this is a good practice for the Borough to have a record on file for this. You know, the actuary would as well.

But I think in the past there's been some -- there hasn't really been a great central file at the Borough for things like this.

ATTY. DURKIN: I do think that -- I don't think employees from what I have seen there's not like an employee file and then a pension file. There is just one file. what I would recommend for each of these is that the IME go in their folder that, you know, any correspondence we get from Duda go in their folder and whatever election they make go in their folder and even like the vote tonight go in their folder.

been, you know, for whatever reason over time there have been issues where you can end up coming back to these things and you can't find everything and that turns it into a -- that's a problem for five years from now, seven years from now if something comes up for whatever reason.

MR. WOLFF: So this document naming the beneficiary, would that be my responsibility or where would that come from?

MR. COLO: It's really the pensioner's responsibility for that, right?

ATTY. DURKIN: We'll just create it for him. I'm not sure who specifically handles. I think the actuary might. But I'm not 100 percent on that. But they do need to sign it.

If they're electing that option, there should be a form they receive I think from the actuary saying these are your options. I'm electing X. And if I elect the 10 year guaranteed, this is who it's payable to.

MR. COLO: Greg, I could talk to Joe tomorrow about generating that type of form if he hasn't in the past.

MR. WOLFF: Yeah, I think they had them, you know, he generated this form with the options. And, you know, I think they were just like circle and initial it. But again --

ATTY. DURKIN: We want the beneficiary designated.

MR. WOLFF: Correct.

MR. COLO: Really something where the pension person would actually sign who the beneficiary is, the percent and a signature and a date on it. It would be my recommendation for you guys to have --

ATTY. DURKIN: I mean, even for current employees including nonuniform, you know, you could have a situation where someone dies and they're at least entitled to their contributions back. And, you know, you would rather not -- if they have someone designated for that, that could save the need to open an estate which can be a hurdle, you know, for the individual.

So there could be or unmarried police or unmarried fire, same thing. If you get into -- it's not hard to conceive of a scenario where you're trying to figure out who

1 to pay to. MR. COLO: And a scenario I had with 2 3 another pension and it became messy was the 4 pensioner passed away, was divorced, you know, 5 never named a new beneficiary. The old wife ended up getting -- getting the pension. 6 ATTY. DURKIN: Almost brought him 7 8 back to life. 9 MR. COLO: Yeah, you know, things of 10 that nature. 11 ATTY. DURKIN: Yeah, it happens. So 12 that's a little bit beyond this, but it does 13 happen. Those issues come up. Or, you know, 14 they die and they don't have siblings, they're not married, their parents --15 16 MR. COLO: Goes into an estate. 17 ATTY. DURKIN: Yeah, estates are not 18 automatic. 19 MR. BURTON: Shouldn't a form like that be included in the initial signup period? 20 21 ATTY. DURKIN: It wouldn't hurt. Ιt 22 really wouldn't hurt to have something like 23 that. 24 MR. BURTON: That way it's already 25 taken care of.

MR. WOLFF: That's something we have to keep an eye on too.

MR. BONAVOGLIA: I know with the insurance policy there was a form filled out for us with the beneficiary.

ATTY. DURKIN: Right, because they would name a beneficiary. This would be -- we wouldn't follow that necessarily.

MR. WOLFF: One other question, I'm sorry. I'm holding us up here. I'm just trying to avoid going out in the cold for flag football practice. But you had mentioned about getting the options for the nonuniform pension of the ten.

ATTY. DURKIN: Someone had requested that. And the ordinance for nonuniform does not have the language in it that would allow them to make that election, that ten year guaranteed election. And, you know, I think it would -- I would recommend looking at it because it's not a cost to the Borough to do that.

You're not increasing the MMO, you know, by doing that. It should be revenue neutral because they're receiving less than

what they otherwise would. And it gives them a little bit more flexibility particularly if they are not married or divorced or whatever.

So, you know, I don't have it off the tip of my tongue, but if the ordinance -- the nonuniform ordinance could be amended to allow that, I think it would help the nonuniform.

MR. WOLFF: So that would be the process Council would have to amend.

ATTY. DURKIN: Council would have to amend it. And I don't think that that is a modification of the pension benefit because it's neutral. It's revenue neutral, like, it's not -- we could get something from -- I think I talked to Joe on that. You're not --

MR. WOLFF: I think you did. I think he spoke to me about it as well.

ATTY. DURKIN: Right. So modification is significant under Act 205 because then you have to get into cost studies and actuarial soundness and that whole thing. But this isn't -- you're not changing the value of the benefit. You're just changing the way it's paid. You're changing how you pay it, not

what you pay.

MR. WOLFF: Okay. Thank you.

ATTY. DURKIN: I think it's worth for Council -- Council would have to do that. They're the only ones that could do that.

MR. BONAVOGLIA: Only one guy leaving for us with that age, does that have any affect on the pension, the soundness?

MR. COLO: Yes, it does. When -- and I did talk to the actuary about that. And, you know, unfortunately when these situations do happen, you know, that becomes a drain on two things.

It could impact the MMO, which, of course, is impacted by the funding of each particular pension. So the answer is yes, Bill, it does have an impact on the soundness of the pension.

ATTY. DURKIN: Because the assumption is -- is the age for police 55?

MR. BONAVOGLIA: Yes.

ATTY. DURKIN: The assumption is you are going to work and contribute until 55.

MR. BONAVOGLIA: He's young, maybe about 36.

ATTY. DURKIN: Yeah, that's a pretty significant hit. If somebody -- in contrast if you stay until you're 65.

MR. COLO: Yeah, Bill's going to -- even the curve out.

ATTY. DURKIN: But, yeah, it does have an impact. The impact will first show up in the 1125 report because the new report will be based on January 1 of this year and that hasn't happened -- or this is after that date. So it will have some impact.

It's hard to say exactly how much because, you know, there's a disability rate that's assumed, you know, whether or not he --it's hard to say exactly how much but some impact, yeah.

MR. COLO: I mean, you know, in essence all of these claims do have an impact, every single one of them does, you know, to a certain extent.

ATTY. DURKIN: Yeah, you're adding he's 30-some you said?

MR. BONAVOGLIA: I think he's like

MR. RUDDY: The police did hire two

1 in anticipating him leaving so that should help 2 out. 3 MR. WOLFF: Yeah, they're saying 4 how this affects the plan. It's an extra 15 5 ATTY. DURKIN: 6 years, you know, payments that you're making 7 that you didn't really account for. So, yeah, 8 it's going to have an impact. 9 MR. WOLFF: That's all I got. 10 ATTY. DURKIN: Anything else? 11 I do have one other MR. COLO: 12 This would be New Business. thing. There 13 is -- Joe Duda -- and I get them at the end of 14 the day and Greg got them as well is the annual 15 pension statement for each participant in each 16 plan so they could see exactly what their 17 pension is worth at this point. 18 MR. AMICO: We're going to get a 19 hard copy to everybody? MR. BONAVOGLIA: Our chief already 20 21 handed them out. 22 MR. WOLFF: Yeah, I was able to get 23 the police early enough. I got the fire and 24 nonuniform just about an hour or so ago so I 25 didn't get that out to them yet.

| 1 | MR. COLO: That may be something to |
|----|--|
| 2 | put in a file too, you know what I mean, where |
| 3 | it was as of this date, just a thought on that |
| 4 | as well. |
| 5 | MR. WOLFF: Jean was doing that. I |
| 6 | told her I made copies. She said, well, I'll |
| 7 | put this in their file. I said, yeah. |
| 8 | MR. COLO: That's great. |
| 9 | ATTY. DURKIN: Unless there's |
| 10 | anything else, motion to adjourn. |
| 11 | MR. AMICO: Motion. |
| 12 | MR. WOLFF: Second. |
| 13 | ATTY. DURKIN: No question, all in |
| 14 | favor? |
| 15 | ALL MEMBERS: Aye. |
| 16 | MR. COLO: Time to get to practice. |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

$\mathsf{C} \; \mathsf{E} \; \mathsf{R} \; \mathsf{T} \; \mathsf{I} \; \mathsf{F} \; \mathsf{I} \; \mathsf{C} \; \mathsf{A} \; \mathsf{T} \; \mathsf{E}$

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me of the above-cause and that this copy is a correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

Maria McCool,

Official Court Reporter

(The foregoing certificate of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless under the direct control and/or supervision of

the certifying reporter.)