	_
	1
1	PENSION BOARD FOR THE BOROUGH OF DUNMORE
2	
3	HELD:
4	
5	Tuesday, June 13th, 2023
6	
7	TIME:
8	6:00 P.M.
9	
10	LOCATION:
11	DUNMORE COMMUNITY CENTER 1414 Monroe Avenue
12	Dunmore, Pennsylvania
13	PENSION BOARDS MEMBERS:
14	
15	VINCE AMICO, President
16	MAX CONWAY, MAYOR, Vice President
17	JOHN MALECKI - absent
18	WILLIAM BONAVOGLIA
19	GREG WOLFF
20	MARK BURTON
21	LAWRENCE DURKIN, ESQ., SOLICITOR
22	
23	
24	
25	MARIA McCOOL, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

ATTY. DURKIN: 1 So we're here for the June, 2023 Dunmore Pension Fund meeting. 2 3 Today is June 13th. We had continued it from 4 last Tuesday which would have been the 6th 5 after we put notice up here. Initially, can we do a roll call? 6 Mark Burton. 7 MR. BURTON: 8 MR. BONAVOGLIA: Bill Bonavoglia. 9 MR. AMICO: Vince Amico. 10 MR. WOLFF: Greg Wolff. 11 MR. COLO: Ralph Colo. 12 ATTY. DURKIN: Larry Durkin. 13 MAYOR CONWAY: Max Conway. 14 ATTY. DURKIN: The first item on our 15 agenda is we need approval of the transcribed 16 minutes from the March 28th, 2023 meeting. 17 don't have a copy of them with me. But we had 18 received them previously from Maria. 19 didn't see any error in them. Can we get a 20 motion to approve the minutes from the last 21 month's meeting? 22 MR. WOLFF: I'll make that motion. 23 MR. BONAVOGLIA: I'll second. 24 ATTY. DURKIN: All in favor? 25 ALL MEMBERS: Aye.

ATTY. DURKIN: Okay. Old Business.

I don't think we have anything. New Business,
Ralph, can you tell us where we are in the
investment?

MR. COLO: Sure. Absolutely.

Surprisingly the markets have done very, very well so far year to date, knock on wood for that. The Borough's return net of fees is -- it's on page four is in excess of 5 percent year to date, 5.28 percent.

What I want to show a little bit differently at this meeting is really over the last seven years when we look at the return net of fees, really, you know, how the Borough has done, seven years ago we were at about 12.7 million. Right now the balance is over 16.5.

So, you know, we've seen really good progression over the years. There's been a couple down years; last year, of course, being one down 12 percent. But, you know, I think the consistency of returns really has been there.

And I think for two reasons, if you look on page five, this really is a breakdown of the allocations currently for the Borough.

There's an approximately 50.8 percent is in equities; 20.8 in fixed income; 12 percent is in alternatives and 16.4 percent is in cash.

So currently we are a little bit overweight on cash. You know, we will get that to work. It's Morgan Stanley's belief that we are not out of the woods yet. Tomorrow as a matter of fact, the federal reserve does have a meeting.

They may pause raising interest rates, but some of the effects of, you know, over a 5 percentage point hike over the last year and a half, really, we don't feel has hit the markets totally as of yet.

So, you know, we are exercising caution. Getting back to the asset allocation, that's why we're never all in or all out. You know, we adhere to the investment policy statement. And we have clearly very broad exposure.

Another good example of that is even the international exposure that we have which currently is about 9 percent of the portfolio. Japan hit a 33 year high in their Nikkei index. In the last 33 years we're, you

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

know, we're seeing new highs for some of the international markets.

And we do have exposure, you know, to those areas. So again, I do attribute that to the asset allocation. Page eight is another one I would like to try to point out. This is the income that's being generated for the portfolio.

So the income on an annual basis right now is almost \$429,000. That's in income. So that is regardless of what the markets do. That's about 2.67 percent of interest that we're earning on the entire portfolio.

You know, rates are higher. But we don't have everything in fixed income. 0f course, we have monies in equities. So, you know, I think when we look at the returns, the consistency of the returns, part of it is based off of the income that we're getting and, of course, off of the asset allocation.

As I stressed, you know, hopefully next quarter, you know, we're looking at positive returns as well. But again, I'm still a little fearful of the equity markets and what

may happen with earnings, you know, for the next quarter. Any questions?

ATTY. DURKIN: Okay.

MR. COLO: Thank you.

ATTY. DURKIN: Next we have applications for pension. We have several applications this month. The first one is for John Malecki. John is a, as you know, a firefighter. I want to give a little bit of background on this because we had some back and forth with Greg and me and Joe Duda. So John has approximately 24 years.

MR. WOLFF: Correct, a little bit over.

ATTY. DURKIN: Yeah, a little bit over 24 years of service. So that's -- and he's applied for a normal retirement pension. So typically the -- well, the criteria is for standard normal retirement pension, full retirement pension of 50 percent is 25 years and 53 years old for firefighters.

However, Dunmore's ordinance provides that you are fully vested at 12 years of service. And it further indicates that if you leave on a normal retirement prior to 25,

you are entitled to the accrued benefit that you've earned to that date.

So what that translates into, you know, to keep it simple, you know, if you have 24 out of the 25 years, you are entitled to 24-25ths of your retirement benefit. You know, your retirement benefit -- you multiply that fraction by 50 percent times your -- the salary calculation.

Because he exceeds -- he's well over age 53, it's my opinion that he's entitled to receive it upon leaving service of the Borough. And he's indicated he's resigning effective June 30th. Therefore, it is -- his calculation is based on him leaving on July 1st.

And Mr. Duda's prepared those numbers for us. My opinion to the Board is that he is entitled to start receiving the accrued benefit he's earned upon leaving the Borough. So my recommendation is that, you know, his pension is appropriate at this point.

I don't think we've seen too many where they are leaving prior to full 25, but he's also not receiving the full retirement benefit he otherwise would. So with all of

1 that said, I would ask for a motion on John Malecki's pension. 2 3 MR. WOLFF: I'll make a motion. MR. AMICO: Second. 4 5 ATTY. DURKIN: Are there any questions or on the question? All in favor? 6 7 ALL MEMBERS: Aye. 8 ATTY. DURKIN: Any opposed? Okay, 9 so the ayes have it. So we have a letter from Joe Duda dated today which shows the 10 11 calculation. There's one typo in the letter. 12 The pension is effective as of July 1st, 2023. It's otherwise correct. So we'll include that. 13 14 I also did a letter to Greg, you know, my 15 opinion on why to what he's entitled to, which 16 we'll include as part of the record also. 17 The second application we have is 18 for Anthony Garzella. And, Greg, maybe you could give a background on Officer Garzella. 19 MR. WOLFF: Officer Garzella was 20 21 injured -- what's your injury date? 22 OFFICER GARZELLA: July 1st --2nd, 23 I believe, 2020. 24 MR. WOLFF: July 1st or 2nd, 2020. 25 He has since received -- we sent him to an IME,

Independent Medical Exam in which they
determined that he was permanently disabled and
unable to return to work. So we actually
started a hearing for the Heart and Lung
benefits. And they did initially dispute it.
But Officer Garzella did submit his request to
receive a disability pension.

ATTY. DURKIN: Okay. You're asking for a disability pension. You're leaving the Borough as of June 30th.

OFFICER GARZELLA: Yeah, like I said, I wanted to speak to my attorneys before so I knew what was going on.

ATTY. DURKIN: Right. And your attorney is Attorney Joe Grady.

OFFICER GARZELLA: Right.

ATTY. DURKIN: Okay. And I did speak to him yesterday about this. And I reviewed the IME, you know, that Greg referred to and, you know, it says what -- and it does indicate he's permanently disabled from an on-the-work injury.

So, you know, that's the criteria for a disability pension. The IME is available if anybody wants to read it. And but once it's

passed around, it's not a public record. So we're looking for a motion to approve his pension.

MR. AMICO: I'll make a motion.

ATTY. DURKIN: Okay.

MR. BURTON: Second.

ATTY. DURKIN: On the question? All in favor?

ALL MEMBERS: Aye.

ATTY. DURKIN: Any opposed? Okay.

So the motion passes. So the pension -- it
will be effective as of July 1. We also
received a calculation from Mr. Duda on your
pension. And then, you know, you'll deal with
Attorney Grady on anything else stemming from
it.

The third -- this is a request for a pension -- this is actually a request for a refund of contributions. So some years ago

Thomas Murphy was employed as a police officer.

MR. WOLFF: Dunmore firefighter.

ATTY. DURKIN: Firefighter, sorry.

He was employed as a firefighter. He was

employed from November of 2003 through October

4th of 2010. So he does not have a vested

pension benefit. The only thing he's entitled to under the ordinance is a return of his contributions.

It's not real clear to me why -- you know, we received a request from him asking for a return of his contributions. You know, we're -- this is obviously some years later.

And he is entitled to his contributions back.

He's also entitled to interest on his contributions under the ordinance.

And so we asked Mr. Duda to prepare a calculation of what that amount is. I would note that while we're paying him interest back, we've also earned money on that, you know, on those funds sitting in the plan for that long. We also did our due diligence to verify that in the intervening 13 years, you know, since he left the Borough that he hasn't already received this money and, you know, we've checked with --

MR. WOLFF: We checked with our payroll company, our payroll clerk, Mr. Colo and Morgan Stanley and all verified that they have no record of him receiving this payment.

ATTY. DURKIN: Okay. We obviously

1 don't want to do this twice. So I think we've done our due diligence. We have -- we do have 2 3 an amount from Mr. Duda. 4 MR. WOLFF: This is actually from 5 the previously actuary. ATTY. DURKIN: 6 Okay. I can't read the name --7 MR. WOLFF: 8 Foster and Foster. We had to do some research 9 to figure out because it was not -- it wasn't 10 Joe Duda at the time. So we had to track this 11 down and Laura Prego from Foster and Foster 12 tracked down our file and I gave it to you 13 what they had listed. 14 So this is our previous actuary what 15 they have listed of what his contributions are. 16 So they are in that one that I passed out. MAYOR CONWAY: So it's like 5,000 17 18 approximately? 19 MR. WOLFF: Yeah. 20 ATTY. DURKIN: Yeah, he has it split 21 out from, you know, from 2003 through 2010. 22 You know, it's about 5,000, yeah. 23 MR. WOLFF: There was about 1,300 24 phone calls on this. 25 MAYOR CONWAY: What, did he just

talk to somebody and realized it wasn't paid out or --

MR. WOLFF: He's been asking for this, once in 2015 I guess he requested it and once in 2020 he requested it, whatever reason it was never processed. So he had I think a financial advisor. Someone reached out to me. Ralph from -- it was another Ralph.

MR. COLO: Yeah, from Allentown, I believe? He reached out to our office as well. We had no record of this gentleman and/or of any payment going out. And that's where unfortunately Greg had to contact the former actuary to see -- because I don't believe there was any -- there's a record of when he was employed at the Borough but not of any contribution. Greg, is that correct?

MR. WOLFF: There was no -- he had records of contributions, but he had no record of this payout -- this contribution reimbursement.

ATTY. DURKIN: Right.

MR. WOLFF: So, yes, we had the records -- certain records to go back so far because we were only able to track down to a

certain point. But again, through this Foster and Foster, they had the records of it of what he contributed. And that's what these numbers are here. I apologize for not having those numbers -- 6,356.

ATTY. DURKIN: For the sake of the record there's a May 1, 2023 e-mail from Laura Prego from Foster and Foster which outlines specifically what, you know, what the amounts are. So that's what we're approving, the reimbursement to him.

And, you know, ideally when somebody leaves we would like to return their contributions then, but for whatever reason --

MAYOR CONWAY: Thirteen years ago.

ATTY. DURKIN: Yeah, stuff happens.

 $\hbox{MAYOR CONWAY:}\quad \hbox{Does that just come}$

out of the --

ATTY. DURKIN: It comes out of the Pension Fund, right, because it went into -- you know, the contributions and the -- from employees and then the payment from the Borough and money from the state all go into the Pension Fund. So that's where the money comes out of. I do think it's -- he's entitled to

1 it. There's no question on that. So last item 2 is any public comment? 3 MR. AMICO: Do we have to make a 4 motion to vote on that? 5 ATTY. DURKIN: Oh, I thought we did. I'm sorry. Do we have a motion to return his 6 contribution? 7 8 MR. AMICO: I'll make that. 9 MR. BONAVOGLIA: I'll second it. 10 ATTY. DURKIN: Any questions? in favor? 11 12 ALL MEMBERS: Aye. 13 ATTY. DURKIN: Any opposed? A11 14 right, so motion passes. Any comment from the 15 public? 16 MR. RUDDY: Question, I'm the 17 President of the Police Association. One of 18 our members has been asking about an early retirement, you know, she's over retirement age 19 20 but she doesn't quite yet have the 25 years in. 21 I just want to make sure like if she 22 wanted to leave, she would be able to collect 23 her pension immediately. 24 ATTY. DURKIN: Why don't you send me 25 the details of it, like, the name and date of

1	hire. I would rather know specifically what
2	you're talking about.
3	MR. RUDDY: Yeah, she's like 19 or
4	20 years.
5	ATTY. DURKIN: And she's over 53.
6	MR. RUDDY: Yeah, she's over 53.
7	ATTY. DURKIN: Yeah, I mean, it
8	seems like she's in the same category.
9	MR. BONAVOGLIA: It would be kind of
10	be based on the same thing like 20-25ths.
11	ATTY. DURKIN: Yeah.
12	MR. RUDDY: I'll send you the
13	details if she's interested. But she did bring
14	it up to me on a few occasions.
15	ATTY. DURKIN: Okay.
16	MR. AMICO: You're not retiring yet,
17	are you?
18	MR. RUDDY: I wish.
19	MR. BONAVOGLIA: The only other
20	thing, beneficiary forms, we were talking about
21	getting them out to the guys.
22	MR. WOLFF: You sent me some, right?
23	ATTY. DURKIN: I did. We drafted a
24	form that and I know I sent it out at some
25	point this year. It does not in the event

that something came up where, like, primarily a return of contributions for a single person, like, that would be the primary thing that I could think of.

But it would be something -- or an active person dies is the most likely scenario where that could come up. It doesn't change any benefit you're entitled to. But it would give clarity on where money would go in the event there was an unscheduled --

MR. WOLFF: I have them. I'll get them out. Just send me a reminder if I don't get them to you by the end of the week. I have them. He did send them to me. I just didn't get them out.

ATTY. DURKIN: All right. Nothing else? Do we have a motion to adjourn?

MR. BONAVOGLIA: I'll make a motion.

MR. BURTON: Second.

ATTY. DURKIN: All in favor?

ALL MEMBERS: Aye.

(Meeting adjourned.)

$\mathsf{C} \; \mathsf{E} \; \mathsf{R} \; \mathsf{T} \; \mathsf{I} \; \mathsf{F} \; \mathsf{I} \; \mathsf{C} \; \mathsf{A} \; \mathsf{T} \; \mathsf{E}$

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me of the above-cause and that this copy is a correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

Maria McCool, RPR

Official Court Reporter

C

(The foregoing certificate of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless under the direct control and/or supervision of

the certifying reporter.)