r	л. — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	
		1
1	COUNCIL FOR THE BOROUGH OF DUNMORE	
2		
3	HELD:	
4		
5	Monday, May 10th, 2021	
6		
7	TIME:	
8	7:00 P.M.	
9		
10	LOCATION:	
11	DUNMORE COMMUNITY CENTER 1414 Monroe Avenue	
12	Dunmore, Pennsylvania	
13		
14	COUNCIL MEMBERS:	
15	MICHAEL DEMPSEY, President	
16	THOMAS HALLINAN, Vice President	
17	CAROL SCRIMALLI	
18	VINCE AMICO	
19	JANET BRIER	
20	ELIZABETH ZANGARDI	
21	MICHAEL P. PERRY, Esquire, Solicitor	
22	TIMOTHY BURKE, Mayor	
23	VITO RUGGIERO, Borough Manager	
24		
25	MARIA McCOOL, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER.	

(Pledge of Allegiance.)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1

MR. RUGGIERO: Just for the public, we did do the pledge of allegiance prior to your arrival. Number two is the public hearing pursuant to the Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code and Dunmore Borough Resolution 2021-1, the consideration to enact proposed comprehensive update to the Dunmore Borough Zoning ordinance.

Copies of the proposed ordinance were available for public inspection on the Borough's website, the county's website or by calling the Borough office at the number listed at the top of the agenda. So number three would be public comment on this Resolution 2021-1.

MR. DEMPSEY: Public comment, would anyone like to comment on the Resolution 2021 - 1? Just please state your name, where you're from.

ATTY. FOX: Yes, my name is Bill I'm general counsel for J. P. Mascaro and Sons, waste collection divisions in the Borough of Dunmore and in Nanticoke.

2

23

24

1 MS. BRIER: So where are you from, sir? 2 3 ATTY. FOX: Where's my office? 4 MS. BRIER: Yeah. 5 ATTY. FOX: My office is in Montgomery County, Pennsylvania. That's where 6 our corporate headquarters is. 7 8 MS. BRIER: Thank you. 9 Our operating division ATTY. FOX: 10 is in Dunmore is at 124 Monahan Avenue. 11 passing out a letter I'd ask to be entered into 12 the record in this matter so that I won't have 13 to read it in detail. 14 Again, my name is Bill Fox. general counsel for J. P. Mascaro and Son. 15 16 I've served in that capacity as inhouse general 17 counsel through the last 35 years since 1990. 18 You probably know or most of you know that the 19 nature of our business is that our company is a privately owned company. 20 21 There's four share holders. It's 22 not interested and never will go public. 23 business that it engages in is the collection, 24 recycling, transportation transferring, 25 composting and disposal of nonhazardous solid

waste.

We have two large operations in northeast Pennsylvania. And we have a large volume of business in northeast Pennsylvania and some New Jersey regional business. Since 1984, we've had long-term contracts with the Keystone Landfill. And the current contract we have for that cite is for the life of the landfill and any expansions of that landfill.

We do a great volume of business with Keystone both residual -- I mean both municipal and residual waste. To put it mildly, Keystone is critical to our company's operation in this regional area. Why are we here tonight?

Our company is here to strongly oppose the Borough of Dunmore ordinance as drafted specifically sections dealing with operation of landfills. And I believe the critical section is Section 6.5(f)(f). We're also here tonight to ask you to table any action on this zoning ordinance because we believe that there's been a woeful lack of consideration as to the legality and the constitutionality of the landfill provisions,

specifically two reasons.

Number one, the -- many of the landfill provisions of that ordinance have been preempted by the Pennsylvania Solid Waste Management Act and the DEP regulations promulgated under that act. And by preempted, that means municipalities such as Dunmore or any municipality cannot regulate landfills in the manner that Dunmore is trying to.

Secondly, the basis for our belief that this matter should be tabled is the ordinance as drafted specifically Section 6.5 discriminates and burdens interstate commerce which is the movement of waste in violation of the commerce clause of the United States Constitution. Let's look at preemption first.

It's undisputed that municipalities through their zoning efforts can regulate where landfills can be located. They have that power. It's also undisputed that municipalities cannot regulate any aspect of the operation of the landfill. That power rests solely in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and the Department of Environmental resources -- environmental

protection.

There are numerous cases which I cite in my letter dealing with preemption.

Plymouth Township versus the County of Montgomery; Monroeville versus Chambers

Development; Pennsylvania Independent Waste Haulers Association versus County of Northumberland.

In the Plymouth Township case and in the Independent Haulers case, the Commonwealth Court stated the following, quote, we conclude that the legislature did not intend municipalities to have the power to regulate any aspects of a sanitary landfill.

Section 6.5 of your ordinance is attempting to do exactly that. It regulates the type of waste that could come into a landfill. That's a DEP decision under the state law. Your proposal says that that landfill can only accept municipal waste.

It's currently permitted to accept both municipal and residual. In fact, I don't know where Dunmore's industrial waste is going to go. Your own -- you're saying your own landfill can't accept manufactured waste or

industrial waste even though it's in your municipality.

You're also saying waste can only come from Lackawanna County. Municipal waste, no residual waste at all. You make an exception. You try to make an exception by saying you have to go through this whole cumbersome permitting process and approval process if you want to accept waste from outside of Lackawanna County.

You're not allowed to regulate where the waste comes from or what type of waste a land full can receive. That's for the state. It seems clear to me that whoever drafted this ordinance -- and I don't know who it was and since it's consortium, I imagine was a consultant.

They ought to go back and look at the Constitution of the United States. They ought to go back and look at the preemption cases because if they don't, there's going to be a big brouhaha. Our company's life bud is that Keystone Landfill. We compete against Waste Management and all the big national public companies.

If we have to use their facilities, they're going to put us out of business. Well, we're not going to let them put us out of business. And we're not going to let the Borough of Dunmore put us out of business.

You really need to have someone review this ordinance based upon preemption and based on the commerce clause of the United States Constitution because it seems clear to me that it hasn't been done. And if it has been done, I think you ought to get rid of that person and get another person because what you are proposing in this ordinance is a blatant violation of preemption law and a blatant violation of commerce law under the Constitution.

It's very clear Philadelphia v. New Jersey, U.S. Supreme Court case that waste is an article of commerce. It's subject to the protection of the commerce clause of the United States Constitution -- Dormant Commerce Clause. You can't -- no state can say we're not accepting your waste from out of state.

I've cited the case. I'm not going to refer to them here. Not only can you say --

you can't say we're not taking your waste, you can't burden it by saying, oh, we'll take your waste but if it comes from Lackawanna County it could just come right in.

But if comes from anywhere else, you must go through a cumbersome, burdensome application and approval procedure for that waste. That's what your ordinance does. And you might say, well, we're treating Pennsylvania waste from other counties the same as out of state waste.

It makes no difference. The commerce clause says you can't discriminate from governmental bodies within the state as it relates to the movement of waste into the landfill. And you're clearly saying -- giving Lackawanna County waste I'll just say a free pass. Alls it needs is a DEP permit and DEP regs.

Every other waste stream you have -you either prohibit it or it has to go through
some sort of convoluted process to get
approval. And the only purpose of that process
is to burden the movement of that waste and to
preclude it from coming into the Keystone

Landfill.

The Borough of Dunmore -- and to top this all off, this landfill is not only in the Borough of Dunmore, it's in the Borough of Throop. Now, what are you guys going to do? How are you -- we got half a landfill that we can -- alls we have to do is abide by Pennsylvania DEP of the Solid Waste Management Act and DEP regs.

And under your ordinance, we not only have to abide by the Solid Waste Management Act and DEP regs but all of this other stuff just thrown on top. How do you regulate that? Which half is controlled by which law, which half is controlled by the other law assuming it's constitutional which it isn't in my opinion.

This matter has not been seriously studied in my opinion. And this law -- I'm just urging you take -- table this matter, send it back to someone who knows something about preemption law and the constitutional protections under the interstate commerce clause. It will save everyone a lot of time, money and aggravation because as I said, I

don't know what Keystone is going to do, but I know J. P. Mascaro and Sons cannot just let this stand and let ourselves be put out of business here in northeast Pennsylvania.

And I think if you do have someone review what's been prosed, they'll come up with a different conclusion. And they'll conclude you could locate where a land -- you could say where a landfill can be located. But you can't regulate any aspect of the operation of the landfill. That's for DEP and that's for the state under the Solid Waste Management Act. Thank you for your time.

MS. SCRIMALLI: Thank you.

MR. DEMPSEY: Thank you, Attorney Fox. Yes, sir. Just state your name, where you're from. Feel free to drop your mask.

ATTY. NESTOR: Thank you. Good evening, Honorable Council members. My name is Christopher Nestor. I'm with the law firm of Overstreet and Nestor. And I'm here with Mr. Belardi tonight, Jeffrey Belardi on behalf of Keystone Sanitary Landfill, Inc.

MS. BRIER: Where are you located?

ATTY. NESTOR: My office is in

2

3

4 5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Harrisburg, ma'am.

MS. BRIER: Thank you.

ATTY. NESTOR: But I'm here on behalf of Keystone Sanitary Landfill, Inc., this evening to talk about the ordinance. Mr. Fox on behalf of Mascaro provided the Borough Council members with a letter. a letter here on behalf of Keystone Sanitary Landfill, Inc.

And I would like the Borough Council members to consider, discusses the substantive issues that we have with the ordinance. If you can pass that down there should be enough copies for everyone. And I won't belabor the points that were made by Mr. Fox on behalf of Mascaro.

But this ordinance which purports to ban under the guise of zoning the importation of out of state solid waste and all residual waste into Dunmore Borough is problematic. Ιt has many constitutional infirmities. Ιt violates the commerce clause as Mr. Fox indicated.

It violates equal protection afforded by the 14th Amendment to the United

. .

States Constitution. It is preempted by Pennsylvania law, specifically the Solid Waste Management Act.

And it constitutes an impermissible exercise of the Borough's police power authority. If you look at the Municipalities Planning Code the provisions that deal with landfills, this is not zoning. This is not regulating where a landfill can be located.

This is regulating how a landfill can be operated which exceeds the Borough's authority under the Municipalities Planning Code. Moreover, it exceeds the Borough's authority under the Borough code.

You will not find a provision in the Borough code that authorizes the Borough to ban the importation of county waste into the Borough. It just does not exist. And as Mr. Fox has indicated, this ordinance needs to be reviewed. It's substantive problems with this ordinance.

And it's important because passing an ordinance that is clearly unconstitutional exposing the Borough and you as individuals to potential liability. It needs to be reviewed.

Aside from the substantive issues -- and I won't go into it in any further detail because it's laid out in our letter, there are procedural problems with this ordinance, several.

First, as I believe was indicated at the beginning of the meeting tonight public notice indicates that the ordinance was available for public viewing on the Borough's website and the county's website. Did the Borough supply a copy of this ordinance to a newspaper of general circulation?

Did it provide a copy to the county law library? There are provisions in the MPC that talk about the procedure by which amendments to zoning ordinances are done. Were they followed? Is it the Borough's position that Act 15 of 2020 allowed the Borough to bypass the publication and advertisement provisions of Section of 609 and 610 of the MPC?

Does the proposed ordinance involve
a map change of any sort or any parcels rezoned
in connection with this proposed ordinance?
Was notice of the public hearing posted along

the affected tracts? If so, when? Was notice of the public hearing mailed to property owners in the area being rezoned? If so, when? Where is the airport hazard overlay shown on the proposed zoning map? Isn't that rezoning? Which version of the proposed ordinance is being considered, the February, 2021 version that's posted on the Borough's website or the March, 2021 version that's posted on county's website?

How is the public supposed to know which version was being considered in advance of the public hearing? I have screenshots from April of 2021 which I'd like to enter into the record showing the different versions of the ordinance that were posted on the Borough's website and the county's website going all the way back to April when the initial public notice was put out for this ordinance has not been corrected in advancement of tonight's meeting.

Who prepared the amendment? Was it submitted to the Borough Planning Commission for comment in advance of the public hearing?

Was it submitted to the County Planning

Commission for comment in advance of the public hearing, all requirements of the Municipalities Planning Code.

Is the public notice summary of the ordinance sufficient? If you look at the public notice that was put out for this ordinance, all it does is list the table of contents for the ordinance. There is no summary of what these provisions do. The ordinance itself wasn't published in the notice -- the public notice, all of which are requirements of the Municipalities Planning Code.

So I would encourage you for these reasons, Honorable Council members, to consider tabling this ordinance for the substantive and procedural infirmities that were discussed by myself and Mr. Fox here tonight. Thank you.

And I would ask that my letter and also my screenshots of the county and Borough's website be put into the record, please.

MR. HALLINAN: Thank you.

MR. DEMPSEY: Mr. Clark?

MR. CLARK: Pat Clark, Dunmore, PA.

We're at a place again where the garbage

1 industry is threatening the Borough again when 2 they don't like what they hear regardless of 3 the merits. I just have a couple questions 4 about the actual plan itself. Carol, you're 5 our Borough rep on the SAPA Plan, right? MS. SCRIMALLI: Yeah. 6 7 MR. CLARK: When did the SAPA Plan 8 start? 9 MS. SCRIMALLI: I'm not exactly sure what -- the exact date. 10 11 MR. CLARK: Years. 12 MS. SCRIMALLI: I'd say 14 years 13 ago. 14 MR. CLARK: Fourteen years ago SAPA 15 started, right? To date, has -- does anyone 16 know if the landfill's had any or the landfill 17 related partners have any comments or public 18 comments issued to SAPA planners? It's open to 19 anyone. MS. DONATO: Yes, I'm the county 20 planner, coordinator of the project. 21 22 MR. DEMPSEY: Just your name for the 23 record. 24 MS. DONATO: Mary Liz Donato. 25 MR. DEMPSEY: Thank you.

MS. DONATO: It started -- the 1 2 comprehensive plan process started around 2006. 3 The zoning portion, 2017. 4 MR. CLARK: Okay. Since 2017, have 5 there been any comments submitted by the waste industry? 6 MS. DONATO: No, not to me. 7 8 MR. CLARK: So the plan has been 9 around for 14 years. The zoning component's 10 been out for four years. And the day of a theoretical vote is the first time we've heard 11 12 from the landfill or their industry about 13 objections to this plan. Is that correct to 14 the best of anyone's knowledge? MR. DEMPSEY: To the best of my 15 16 knowledge. 17 MS. BRIER: Yeah, I mean --18 MS. DONATO: Like I said, nobody's 19 contacted our office. I don't know about --20 MR. CLARK: Okay. Thank you. 21 MR. DEMPSEY: Thanks, Pat. Anyone 22 else? 23 ATTY. NESTOR: I just want to 24 respond to Mr. Clark. 25 MR. DEMPSEY: Just your name again

1 because we're taking a record. ATTY. NESTOR: This is Chris Nestor. 2 3 Am I correct that this is the first public hearing for this amended ordinance that has 4 5 occurred before the Dunmore Borough Council? MS. BRIER: The Planning Commission 6 7 had an open meeting --8 ATTY. NESTOR: This is the first 9 public hearing before the Council with respect 10 to the proposed ordinance, correct? 11 hasn't been a prior public hearing for the 12 adoption of this proposed ordinance; is that 13 correct? 14 MR. DEMPSEY: That's correct. ATTY. NESTOR: Thank you. 15 16 MR. DEMPSEY: Anybody else like to 17 address the ordinance? Anyone from Council? 18 MS. BRIER: Mary Liz, I just 19 wondered if you have any comments with regard to some of the things that these folks have 20 been saying. I mean, you have been intimately 21 involved in the --22 23 MS. DONATO: A few things I wrote 24 I do believe you need to go back and

look at the landfill language. The interstate

commerce could be an issue. As for posting properties when it's a comprehensive amendment to the ordinance, the MPC states that you don't have to post properties.

If you were just doing one or two, you know, but this is a whole new ordinance so you don't have to post all the properties that changed. I'm not sure if any have again because each community was responsible for their portion of this plan.

The county planning just coordinated all the meetings, kept everybody together. The local communities made the final decisions on, you know, the zones and what they were putting in them. Procedural challenges, this is the way that the local communities have been advertising zoning amendments.

It didn't go to the county law
library because the County Commissioners
designated the County Planning Office for that
and, yes, it was their -- it was on the
website. I know it was here. You cannot
physically publish this entire ordinance in the
newspaper. It would cost you a million
dollars, you know, just to be facetious.

But most communities will just summarize the table of contents and then if anybody has any specific questions that's why it's available. As for why they were different versions, I don't know because when it was updated it should have updated on everybody's website -- portal. When you updated it, it went to all of the communities for an update.

MS. BRIER: I don't know understand what the differences were from these screenshots. Can you clarify that, Chris?

MR. DEMPSEY: Just your name again, I'm sorry.

ATTY. NESTOR: Chris Nestor. The ordinance that's published on the county's website which was there as of this morning is dated February of 2021. The version of the ordinance that is posted on the county's website is dated March, 2021.

MS. BRIER: But it's the same ordinance.

ATTY. NESTOR: No, it's not the same ordinance. The language of the ordinance is not identical. There are changes.

MS. BRIER: Okay. What are they?

ATTY. NESTOR: I'm not here to 1 delineate those changes for you. 2 3 MS. BRIER: I was just wondering 4 what they are. 5 ATTY. NESTOR: There are substantive changes between the two versions of the 6 7 ordinances that are published. 8 MS. BRIER: If there are substantive 9 changes, can you please tell us what they are? ATTY. NESTOR: 10 I don't have a 11 comparison version of the ordinance. You could 12 compare the one that is on your website to the 13 one that is on the county website and run 14 those --MS. BRIER: 15 You brought that up. just thought if you would have that information 16 17 for us. 18 ATTY. NESTOR: I don't have it here 19 I'm pointing out a procedural for you. 20 deficiency with your ordinance advertisement 21 It needs to be corrected. process. 22 MR. HALLINAN: We do have until June 23 30th to make a decision, correct? 24 MS. DONATO: June 30th is the end of 25 the program.

MR. HALLINAN: 1 Right. So we would have to make a decision before then. 2 3 MS. DONATO: Correct. 4 MR. HALLINAN: And it is your 5 professional opinion that we really should go back and visit this interstate commerce part of 6 it. 7 8 MS. DONATO: Yes. 9 MR. AMICO: Let me ask a question on 10 that then. I'm assuming I'm right on this that 11 this was put together to answer what Mr. Fox 12 brought up, this was put together by the -- the consultant is the one who drafted this 13 14 language. MS. DONATO: 15 Correct. 16 MR. AMICO: So in theory, the 17 consultant should be the one that does the 18 research and get this squared away. 19 MS. DONATO: Correct. Yes. 20 MR. HALLINAN: Can we get in touch 21 with the consultant and double-check this? 22 MS. DONATO: Yes. 23 MR. HALLINAN: The last thing I want 24 to see is the Borough get sued again. 25 MR. LORINCE: I talked to the

1 consultant. Her name is Carolyn or Caroline. 2 MS. DONATO: Carolyn. 3 MR. LORINCE: Carolyn. And she's 4 actually reviewing it right now. 5 MR. HALLINAN: She's reviewing it now? 6 7 MS. ZANGARDI: She's reviewing the 8 landfill language right now? 9 MR. LORINCE: Yes. 10 MS. BRIER: When did you talk to 11 her, Joe? 12 MR. LORINCE: I talked to her 13 probably last week, probably Wednesday or 14 Thursday on it. As soon as I found out, you 15 know, if there was a problem with the landfill 16 I said maybe you should go back and check the 17 language on it and see exactly what they are looking for. 18 19 MS. BRIER: And how long would that What's the timeframe for that? 20 take? 21 MR. LORINCE: I really couldn't 22 answer that how long. How long will it take 23 her to review it? 24 MS. DONATO: Oh, I would say within 25 a week.

1 MS. BRIER: And all the other participants would be okay with that then? 2 3 mean, how does that work? How is it adopted? 4 MS. DONATO: All the municipalities? Yeah, six they've already adopted 5 are waiting for the last three. And the way 6 the language is because it's regional zoning, 7 8 nothing goes into effect until the last 9 municipality adopts. 10 MS. BRIER: But that wasn't my 11 question. My question was if they decide to 12 make a change to this, what is the procedure 13 that SAPA has for making this change and what's 14 the timeframe? 15 MS. DONATO: It would just be your 16 You wouldn't be affecting any of the change. 17 other municipalities. 18 MS. BRIER: Okay. Thank you. 19 Anybody else? MR. DEMPSEY: 20 (No response.) 21 MR. DEMPSEY: Okay. Hearing none. 22 MR. RUGGIERO: That will close the 23 public hearing. We'll start the Council 24 meeting at 7 p.m. 25 MR. DEMPSEY: Two minutes.

1	MR. RUGGIERO: Do you want to go
2	right into it? All right. Ready for roll
3	call?
4	MR. DEMPSEY: Yes.
5	MR. RUGGIERO: Mrs. McDonald
6	Zangardi.
7	MS. ZANGARDI: Here.
8	MR. RUGGIERO: Mrs. Scrimalli.
9	MS. SCRIMALLI: Here.
10	MR. RUGGIERO: Mr. Ehnot.
11	MR. EHNOT: Here.
12	MR. RUGGIERO: Mr. Dempsey.
13	MR. DEMPSEY: Here.
14	MR. RUGGIERO: Mr. Hallinan.
15	MR. HALLINAN: Here.
16	MR. RUGGIERO: Mr. Amico.
17	MR. AMICO: Here.
18	MR. RUGGIERO: Mrs. Brier.
19	MS. BRIER: Here.
20	MR. RUGGIERO: Mayor Burke.
21	MAYOR BURKE: Here.
22	MR. DEMPSEY: Public comment on the
23	agenda items. Anyone from the public want to
24	comment on agenda items only?
25	(No response.)

	21
1	MR. DEMPSEY: Seeing none.
2	MR. RUGGIERO: Number seven is a
3	motion to approve the minutes.
4	MR. DEMPSEY: I'll look for a
5	motion.
6	MR. EHNOT: I'll make a motion.
7	MR. DEMPSEY: I have a motion. Do I
8	have a second?
9	MR. AMICO: I'll second it.
10	MR. DEMPSEY: I have a motion and a
11	second. All those in favor?
12	ALL MEMBERS: Aye.
13	MR. DEMPSEY: Opposed?
14	(No response.)
15	MR. DEMPSEY: The ayes have it and
16	so moved.
17	MR. RUGGIERO: Number eight is a
18	motion to approve and pay the open bills.
19	MR. DEMPSEY: I'll look for a
20	motion.
21	MS. ZANGARDI: I'll second.
22	MR. DEMPSEY: I have a motion and a
23	second. Anyone on the question on the bills?
24	Mrs. Brier? I'm waiting for you.
25	MS. BRIER: Just the American Fire

Service, \$8,900.

MR. RUGGIERO: They're two invoices for fire truck electrical issues. I believe Councilman Amico is aware and, Chief, if you could confirm that.

CHIEF DENAPLES: That is an accurate. There is an issue with the rescue truck with the electrical system including the control panel in the cab that controls all the functions for the generator, the emergency lighting, if there is any malfunctions with any of the parts of the truck that indicates on the screen.

MS. BRIER: Okay, great. How about Bucktown Truck Sales, \$4,700.

MR. RUGGIERO: They're 10 invoices for the DPW trucks for various things from brake pads and inspection. But it's a cumulative of 10 invoices for the DPW truck.

MS. BRIER: Okay, thanks. I mean, I talk about any -- I see Northeast Inspection Consultants, \$8,800.

MR. RUGGIERO: That's the Code
Office inspections that were paid for and we reimbursed.

1	MS. BRIER: That's all I have.
2	MR. DEMPSEY: Okay. Anyone else on
3	the question? All those in favor signify by
4	saying aye.
5	ALL MEMBERS: Aye.
6	MR. DEMPSEY: Opposed?
7	(No response.)
8	MR. DEMPSEY: The ayes have it and
9	so moved.
10	MR. RUGGIERO: Number nine is a
11	motion to adopt Resolution 2021-1 an amendment
12	to the Scranton Abington Planning Association
13	better known as SAPA intergovernmental
14	cooperative implementation agreement.
15	MR. DEMPSEY: I'll look for either a
16	motion to adopt or a motion to table.
17	MS. BRIER: Motion to table.
18	MR. DEMPSEY: I have a motion to
19	table.
20	MS. SCRIMALLI: I'll second that.
21	MR. HALLINAN: I'll second that.
22	MR. DEMPSEY: I have a motion to
23	table and a motion to second. Anyone on the
24	question?
25	(No response.)

1 MR. DEMPSEY: All those in favor signify by saying aye. 2 3 ALL MEMBERS: Aye. 4 MR. DEMPSEY: Opposed? 5 (No response.) MR. DEMPSEY: The ayes have it and 6 7 the motion is tabled. 8 MR. RUGGIERO: Number ten is the 9 Treasurer financial report, Mr. Burton. 10 MR. DEMPSEY: Mr. Burton. 11 MR. BURTON: Good evening, Council. 12 I'll be pretty brief because this month was a 13 pretty standard cut and dry month. There isn't 14 too many extraordinary items as far as the 15 financial picture goes. Bottom line we're 16 showing net loss of \$949,000. 17 Once again, this is going to be a 18 recurring theme throughout the course of the 19 year, a 2.5 million dollar payoff to the 20 Peoples loan back in the end of February or 21 early March, 2.5 million dollars. It brings 22 our actual net income from 1.6 million if we 23 would take out that extraordinary item. 24 And again, as far as April is 25 concerned, it was s pretty standard average run

of the mill month. A couple highlights I could touch base on, our real estate collection taxes are starting to level off right now because we usually get a majority of that in the first quarter of the year. And we could expect a little bit of a decrease going forward.

First quarter landfill fee was received in April, \$644,000. No draw on the TAN as far as revenues were concerned. And as far as expenses, April was a three pay period month so the increased expenses are reflected throughout the various departments. This should be the end of our winter bills as far as rock salt and coal patch is concerned, another \$20,000 this month.

And the Fidelity 2003 general obligation bond was paid in full. So that has been satisfied. And the \$40,000 MMO payment was made. And again, it was a pretty basic month. And that's about all I have for this month.

MR. DEMPSEY: Thanks, Mark. Anyone have any questions for Mark?

MS. BRIER: Yeah, Mark, this special assessments, that's the landfill fee?

So that's down 120 grand from budget. And that's -- so that should have been two -- two payments then, right, if it's 1.2 million?

January through April, maybe we got the first one in January.

MR. BURTON: The fourth quarter was received in January.

MS. BRIER: In January. Okay, that's what it was because it's a cash basis, okay.

MR. DEMPSEY: Anyone else have any questions? Thank you, Mark.

MR. RUGGIERO: Public comment.

MR. DEMPSEY: Anyone like to address Council tonight on anything other agenda items, anything at all. Yes, sir, could you please come up and state your name and address for the record, please?

MR. VIOLA: Eric Viola. It's with an ordinance for the Jake brakes. Recently they had the signs put up southbound and northbound from Wheeler Avenue down to Short Street where the tank memorial is in both directions.

And Council did an ordinance from

northbound and there was never one done for southbound. And through the assistance of the Mayor, I got a copy of an old ordinance. It's an unsigned copy. And they said this would cover the ordinance. And that's why southbound wasn't down. I don't know if anyone is aware of that or if this is binding or not, the ordinance. Would you like to see a copy of it?

MR. DEMPSEY: Sure.

MR. RUGGIERO: There's a signed copy at the Borough Building. You received a copy off Mr. Lorince's computer just to show there was an ordinance on file. But there is a signed copy I believe at the Borough Building which I believe the Borough Manager at the time, the Mayor and President of Council.

I can't remember if it was 2007. I know Mayor Burke was on Council then. I don't know if you remember who the President of Council was at that point.

MAYOR BURKE: It was Thomas Hennigan.

MR. RUGGIERO: There you go. That's who it actually was, Thomas Hennigan. But there's a signed copy at the Borough Building.

1	MR. VIOLA: Okay. I wasn't aware of
2	that because I spoke to you at the last
3	meeting.
4	MR. RUGGIERO: I wasn't aware of it
5	either.
6	MR. VIOLA: Of the signed copy or
7	MR. RUGGIERO: Of the 2007
8	ordinance. But we found that through the
9	digging. I found one it was an earlier one
10	in the 80s even. And then that would
11	supersede that one. So they're all on file
12	after talking to Attorney Perry that is binding
13	because it is signed at the Borough Building.
14	MR. VIOLA: Is there a way of
15	getting a signed copy?
16	MR. RUGGIERO: Sure.
17	MR. VIOLA: Who do I have to see for
18	that?
19	MR. RUGGIERO: I'll send it
20	tomorrow.
21	MR. VIOLA: Okay. All right. I
22	have no further questions.
23	MR. DEMPSEY: Thank you, Mr. Viola.
24	Anyone else?
25	(No response.)

1 MR. DEMPSEY: Seeing none. MR. RUGGIERO: Public officials. 2 3 MR. DEMPSEY: Mrs. Brier, do you 4 want to start us off tonight? 5 I'm good. MS. BRIER: Mike. Thanks. 6 Mr. Amico? 7 MR. DEMPSEY: Okay. 8 MR. AMICO: Yeah, just one thing. 9 We just had -- we received some new information from the Recycling Center on some of their new 10 and updated rules. And I'll have them put on 11 12 Borough web page this week. 13 One thing that it states 14 emphatically is that they are not taking or 15 charging for electronic items anymore. So you 16 can't bring, you know, TVs or those types of 17 items to the Recycling Center. 18 They are taking grass clippings 19 And again, I will put the updated information on the Borough's website for 20 21 everybody to see. That's all, Mr. Dempsey. 22 MR. DEMPSEY: Thanks, Mr. Amico. 23 Mr. Hallinan? 24 MR. HALLINAN: I just want everybody 25 to know and hope the Scranton Times helps us

Thanks.

1 out with this. In July we're going to start off with our first hopefully many to come with 2 3 our Bucktown Music Fest where we'll be closing down Dunmore Corners on four consecutive 4 5 Sundays from 4:00 until like 9:00. We have entertainment coming from in 6 free for all the Borough residents to enjoy. 7 8 And I'm looking for donations. It's Borough 9 run so if anybody wants to send us money, we're 10 more than happy to take it. And that's all I 11 have. Thank you very much. 12 MR. DEMPSEY: Thanks, Mr. Hallinan. Mr. Ehnot? 13 14 MR. EHNOT: I have nothing. 15 MR. DEMPSEY: Mrs. Scrimalli? 16 MS. SCRIMALLI: Nothing tonight. 17 Thank you. 18 MS. DONATO: Mrs. Zangardi? 19 MS. ZANGARDI: Yes, the Mosaic 20 Project is still looking for volunteers and 21 still cleaning up Forest Hill Cemetery every 22 weekend if anybody is interested or would like 23 to participate. That's it. Thank you. 24 MR. DEMPSEY: Thanks, Mrs. Zangardi. 25 I just have two announcements. Council will

be -- oh, I'm sorry, Mayor Burke.

MAYOR BURKE: Just hope the Times could help me out with this too. Bernie McGurl from the Lackawanna River Board is looking for volunteers in partnership with American Water too to try to help take care of Weggy's trail around the reservoir in Dunmore.

And I was wondering if, Vito, if I give you Bernie's e-mail address if you could put it on our website so we can get that out for -- as soon as possible. Anybody interested -- he already has two. One guy is sitting here already. Mr. Cuff, thank you. But anybody -- spread the word. It's a good cause and a beautiful trail.

MR. HALLINAN: I'm sure if you get in touch with the Earth Club at the Dunmore High School, they'd be happy to help out too. So reach out to Mr. Marichak, okay?

MR. DEMPSEY: Thanks, Mayor Burke.

Attorney Perry?

ATTY. PERRY: I have nothing.

MR. DEMPSEY: Mr. Ruggiero?

MR. RUGGIERO: Nothing. 1 2 MR. DEMPSEY: Didge, I see you back 3 there. 4 MR. JUDGE: No. MR. DEMPSEY: Mr. Lorince? 5 MR. LORINCE: Nothing. 6 7 MR. DEMPSEY: Chief DeNaples? Nothing tonight. 8 CHIEF DENAPLES: 9 Thank you. 10 MR. DEMPSEY: Officer Richardson? 11 All right. I think I covered everybody. 12 just have two quick announcements. We are 13 going to -- Council is going to start the 14 summer program back up this year for the kids. 15 It's likely going to start usually it's the 16 week after the 4th of July. So I think it 17 would start July 12th and go about five or six 18 weeks. 19 It will be at the DCC, McHale Park. It will be at the Sherwood Park and St. 20 21 Anthony's Park. So we'll put something on our 22 website looking for applications for teachers 23 to help run it and also students. So spread 24 the word, Frank.

And just on a serious note, a friend

of mine's mom is really sick right now. Her name is Donna Gianzanti. She's in Philly right now on a ventilator. So there is a Go Fund Me page going on for her right now. So if you need the information it will be -- it's for travel expenses and medical expenses for her family. So that's going around Facebook if anybody needs the information, would like to make a donation I would highly suggest it.

And I would be happy to provide you the information after the meeting if you wanted to. Other than that, I think we're good.

Anything else? I'll look for a motion to adjourn.

MR. HALLINAN: I'll make a motion.

MS. ZANGARDI: I'll second.

MR. DEMPSEY: I have a motion and a second. All those in favor?

ALL MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. DEMPSEY: Opposed?

(No response.)

MR. DEMPSEY: The ayes have it and so moved. We're adjourned.

$\mathsf{C} \; \mathsf{E} \; \mathsf{R} \; \mathsf{T} \; \mathsf{I} \; \mathsf{F} \; \mathsf{I} \; \mathsf{C} \; \mathsf{A} \; \mathsf{T} \; \mathsf{E}$

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me of the above-cause and that this copy is a correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

Maria McCool,

Official Court Reporter

(The foregoing certificate of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless under the direct control and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.)